

Original Research Article

Key elements of the Inter Professional Education (IPE): Perceptions amongst pre-registration students in the different health professions in Sri Lanka.

Comment [u1]: Interprofessional
Shouldn't it be written adjacently?

ABSTRACT

Aims: Inter-professional education (IPE) is important in developing good working relationships between different health professionals. The key elements of IPE have entitled as clinical situations, skills expected in IPE and teaching / learning methods. Health professional students in Sri Lanka experience informal inter-professional learning opportunities during clinical years. The aim of this research was to explore student perceptions on these key elements of IPE.

Study design: A cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted with the students who have had prior clinical training for a period of 1 year, from 8 health professional groups (Medical, Physiotherapy, Nursing Diploma, Nursing Degree, Medical Laboratory Science, Pharmacy, Audiology, Speech & Language Therapy) at different health educational institutions.

Methodology: A total of 686 students were invited to complete a self-administered questionnaire. The data was entered and analyzed using SPSS. The part of the data was analyzed using descriptive statistics. The response rate for the survey was 84.8%.

Results: The findings suggest that the key elements of IPE are important to improve the aims of IPE. Most health care students agree that management of an acute situation, community based care and rehabilitative care are the most important clinical situations where students can learn to work as a team, one of the main aims of inter-professional learning. Respect for each other and communication skills were identified as the most imperative skills that can be improved through interprofessional learning. Most students strongly agree that demonstration and work based tasks in wards are key teaching methods for achieving the aims of IPE.

Conclusion: This study suggests some focused approaches for IPE in Sri Lanka and students may need more clarity on the aim of IPE.

Keywords: Inter professional education, health professionals, teaching, learning, clinical situations, skills

1. INTRODUCTION

Interprofessional education, which is designed to promote teamwork among different health professions, is described as occurring when “two or more professions learn with, from and about each other to improve collaboration and the quality of care” [1]. The introduction of IPE into the training of healthcare professionals has become an important object for governments and universities internationally.

Different skills expected in IPE are needed to work collaboratively in a health care team and these skills can be improved through IPE. In this paper different skills were identified, such as decision making skills, respect for each other, understanding how group work, communication skills, teaching skills, understanding professional roles and responsibilities, recognizing limitation as a professional and leadership skills. The communication skills between members of different health professionals affect effective multidisciplinary teamwork [2,3]. Groups with greater cohesiveness are associated with better clinical outcomes and higher patient satisfaction [4]. Leadership skills are important for team leaders to facilitate interdisciplinary group work [3,5].

There are different teaching/ learning methods to use in interprofessional learning [6]. In the present study, Lectures, demonstration and/ or practice of procedural skills, small group discussions (SGD), work-based tasks at ward, role plays and simulation-based learning sessions and integrated ward rounds were identified as different teaching/learning methods. IPE aims to encourage different professionals to learn together to improve collaborative practice and the health care of patients. Therefore, it has more potential for enhancing collaborative practice than a program of multi professional education (such as a joint lecture) or uni-professional education [7]. Interdisciplinary problem-based learning (PBL) [8,9] and the service/learning models are examples of two team approaches to education [10,11,12,13].

Clinical situations where different professions work as a team show the importance of Inter professional practice [14]. In this paper; Management of acute situations, Clinical decision making, performing procedures, Work in the theatre, Work in the labor room, Work in the hospital clinic, In-hospital rehabilitative care and Community-based care were identified as different clinical situation where different professionals work as a team. Nurses and other healthcare professionals can assist for advance palliative care in diverse critical care [14,15]. Morey et al. [15] described that the effectiveness of an interprofessional teamwork training program on collaborative behavior in emergency departments. Calkins et al. [16] and Wagner [17] identified the importance of working together with different health professionals in chronic disease management including Pharmacist team members [18,19,20]. The multidisciplinary teams involved in dementia care [21] including Physical therapists [22] and Occupation therapists [23] help the patients to deal with challenges faced in daily living.

Health professional students interact poorly with each other during their basic health professional education in Sri Lanka. But these different health professionals meet at the same health care setting (hospital). They have to work together to develop good working relationships between different professionals and therefore, it would be valuable to investigate key elements of the Inter Professional Education (IPE) amongst pre-registration students in the different health professions in Sri Lanka. This information will be useful to understand the issues impacting on health professional teams and the needs and challenges for inter-professional learning. The objective of this study was to identify the key elements from the students' perspective to improve the collaboration and patientcare exposure to different skills expected in IPE, different teaching / learning methods and clinical situations.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Subjects

A cross sectional study was conducted with the students who have had prior clinical training for a period of 1 year, from 8 health professional groups; Medical, Physiotherapy, Nursing (Diploma), Nursing (Degree), Medical Laboratory Science (MLS), Pharmacy, Audiology, Speech & Language Therapy at different health educational institutions. Second year students those who have already started their clinical training were selected as they listen to patient stories and communicate with one another about their roles within the health care system. A total of six hundred and eighty-six (686) students were invited to complete the self-administered questionnaire. The different health professional students were selected to **capture health** professions being trained at diploma / degree level in Sri Lanka. Medical and physiotherapy students were selected at Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo. Nursing diploma students were selected at National Training School, Colombo. MLS, Pharmacy and Nursing degree students were selected from Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayawardenepura. Audiology and speech therapy students were selected from Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya.

2.2 Data collection instrument

The data was collected using a self-administered questionnaire **which was newly prepared one with experience, however the questionnaire has been pre tested with small sample at the different health care setting at earlier stages of the study.** This questionnaire mainly consisted of a list of clinical situations where different professions work as a team (responses were recorded as 4=essential, 3=very important, 2=somewhat important & 1=minimally important), a list of skills expected in working in a health care team and a list of teaching/ learning methods that may be used for interprofessional learning (responses were recorded as 4=Extremely, 3=to a larger extent, 2=somewhat & 1=Minimally). **These key elements are derived from the aims of IPE as per competency-based education, the different teaching / learning methods based on the SPICES model (Student centered, Problem based, Integrated, Community based, Elective and Systematic model) [24] and as per the studies in IPE, the clinical situation would be based on literature on interprofessional practice and our experiences as healthcare professionals.**

2.3 Statistical analysis

The data was entered in the SPSS and analyzed using descriptive statistics and analytical statistical methods. Kruskal – Wallis H test was used to compare score responses of above key elements (ordinal variables) of different independent professional groups.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Response rate

A total of six hundred and eighty-six (686) students were invited to participate in the study, of which n = 582 filled in the questionnaire, giving an overall response rate of 84.8%.

The population of the study was composed by, 157 (80.1%) medical students, 180 (80%) nursing (diploma) students, 56 (93.3%) physiotherapy students, 54 (90.0%) pharmacy students, 46 (76.6%) nursing degree students, 27 (90.0%) Medical laboratory students, 18

(72%) Audiology students and 42 (70.0%) speech and language therapy students were responded.

3.2 Skills expected in working in a healthcare team

- S: Skills
- S1: Decision making skills
- S2: Respect for each other
- S3: Understanding how groups work
- S4: Communication skills
- S5: Teaching skills
- S6: Understanding professional roles and responsibilities
- S7: Recognizing limitations as a professional
- S8: Leadership skills

Table 1 shows the students' perception of the importance of these IPE skills. All the team work skills had relatively higher median scores while "Respect for each other", "Understanding how groups work" and "Communication skills" showed the highest values while the least value was shown in "Teaching skills".

Medicine and MLS groups showed significant less median values for the "decision making skills" compared with other professional groups. MLS groups showed significant less median value for the "Leadership skills and recognizing limitations as a professional". MLS students rated comparatively low median values for the all the skills.

There was no statistical significant difference between professional groups for the other skills.

Table 1. Skills expected in working in a healthcare team

	Median									
	Medicine	Nursing (Diploma)	Physiotherapy	Pharmacy	Nursing (Degree)	MLS	Audiology	Speech & Language Therapy	Total	p-value
S1	2.7	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.2	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.2	.04*
S2	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.7	3.5	.08
S3	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.7	3.5	.1
S4	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.1	3.5	3.8	3.5	.09
S5	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.0	.08
S6	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.3	2.8	3.4	3.7	3.4	.1
S7	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.1	2.5	3.4	3.6	3.1	.04*
S8	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.6	3.1	3.4	3.2	.02*
Total	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	2.8	3.3	3.6		

* significant at the 0.05 level

3.3 Different teaching / learning methods that may be used for IPE

TL: Teaching and Learning

- TL1: Lectures
- TL2: Demonstration and/ or practice of procedural skills
- TL3: Small Group Discussions (SGD)
- TL4: Work-based tasks at ward e.g. discussing a treatment plan with a different health professional
- TL5: Role plays and simulation-based learning sessions
- TL6: Integrated Ward Rounds

In this part of study, students rated differently how effectively different teaching/ learning methods can be used for IPE (Table 2). Demonstration, SGD and Integrated ward rounds had higher median scores while Work-based tasks at ward was the highest. "Lectures" was the lowest rated teaching / learning method. Medical students rated low values for classed based teaching learning methods (TL: 1-3 & 5) and higher values for wards based methods (TL:4 & 6).

The opinion about ward based methods (TL:4 & 6) was statistically different between the healthcare profession groups. In particular, physiotherapy, pharmacy, speech and language therapy, nursing diploma and degree groups perceived this method more crucial for IPE than the other groups'

Students suggested several other teaching / learning methods can be used for the IPE including workshops and presentations, community based field trips, wards classes, problem based learning (PBL), ward rounds with all health professional students, group discussions and seminars in hospital settings.

Table 2. Different teaching / learning methods that may be used for IP learning

	Median									
	Medicine	Nursing (Diploma)	Physiotherapy	Pharmacy	Nursing (Degree)	MLS	Audiology	Speech & Language Therapy	Total	p-value
TL1	2.0	3.2	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.7	.09
TL2	2.8	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.3	.09
TL3	2.5	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.6	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.1	.1
TL4	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.4	.03*
TL5	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.5	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.9	.06
TL6	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.9	3.4	3.3	.02*
Total	2.7	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.0	3.3		

* significant at the 0.05 level

3.4 Clinical situations where different professions work as a team

- CS: Clinical situation
- CS1: Management of acute situations e.g. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation, trauma, burns, seizures, unconscious patients, poisoning
- CS2: Clinical decision making e.g. long-term care planning, discharge planning
- CS3: Performing procedures e.g. lumbar puncture, blood culture, taking a biopsy, ascitic

tap

- CS4: Work in the theatre e.g. surgery, anesthesia, post-operative care
- CS5: Work in the labour room including neonatal care
- CS6: Work in the hospital clinic e.g. referrals
- CS7: In-hospital rehabilitative care
- CS8: Community-based care e.g. immunization of children, ante-natal clinics, Rehabilitation

Clinical situations where different professions work as a team is presented in Table 3. Clinical situations (CS) 1 and 4 had higher median scores while score was the lowest for CS 3 and 6. Medical, Nursing and Physiotherapy students rated higher scores for the most of the clinical situations. Audiology and speech therapy students rated higher values for above situations though they do not directly involve for those whereas MLS and Pharmacy students rated low values as they have little opportunities to engage in clinical situations.

Medicine group showed the lowest statistically significant median value comparing with other professional groups for "clinical decision making" and "Work in the hospital clinic". Medicine, Nursing and Physiotherapy groups showed higher statistically significant median values for the "Management of acute situation and Work in the theatre". Medicine and Nursing groups showed higher median values for "performing procedures and Work in the labour room" which are not significant. MLS group showed the lowest statistically significant median value comparing with other professional groups for the Community-based care.

Students noted some clinical situations in the questionnaire that they would prefer to learn as an IPE activity. Students suggested "Management of emergency (ICU) situations", clinical pharmacology, "management of psychiatric diseases and rehabilitation of long term management of patients (Cardiovascular accidents, management of neurological patients)" are important situations where different professions work as a team and enhance IPE activity.

Table 3. Clinical situations where different professions work as a team

	Median + SD									
	Medicine	Nursing (Diploma)	Physiotherapy	Pharmacy	Nursing (Degree)	MLS	Audiology	Speech & Language Therapy	Total	p-value
CS1	3.7 4	3.6 5	3.6 +0.6	3.4 +0.8	3.8 5	2.7 +1.2	3.3 +0.6	3.3 +0.9	3.5 +0.7	0.03*
CS2	2.4 8	3.2 7	3.3 6	3.3 +0.6	3.4 7	2.5 1	3.3 +0.6	3.3 +0.6	3.0 +0.8	0.04*
CS3	2.9 8	3.3 7	2.4 8	2.7 +1.0	3.2 6	3.19 +0.8	2.3 +0.9	2.3 +0.9	2.9 +0.8	0.06
CS4	3.4 6	3.5 6	3.4 7	2.8 +1.0	3.6 5	2.8 +0.9	3.2 +0.9	3.2 +0.9	3.3 +0.7	0.1
CS5	3.3	3.4	2.9 7	2.7 +1.0	3.5 7	2.5 +1.1	3.1 +0.8	3.1 +1.0	3.2 +0.9	0.09
CS6	2.6	3.1	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.4	3.5	3.5	2.9	0.02*
CS7	2.9	2.2	3.3	2.7	3.3	2.1	3.7	3.7	3.1	0.07
CS8	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	2.4	3.5	3.5	3.2	0.01*
Total	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.4	2.6	3.3	3.3		

* significant at the 0.05 level

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Clinical situations

In the present study, all the health professional students rated significantly higher median score for management of acute situation and Community-based which play a major role to enhance IPE activity. The literatures had also shown that the inter-professional practice in management of acute situations is important [14,15] as multidisciplinary teams must work effectively under highly complex, stressful, and uncertain conditions [25].

Student's rated low values for the performing procedures and work in the hospital clinic as the most of the students may have less exposure to the above clinical situations during their second year clinical placement.

In the present study, students suggested other clinical situations which can be used to improve IPE such as chronic disease management require multi-disciplinary team service. The similar findings proved that most successful intervention in chronic disease management is ensured by working together with different health professionals [16,17]. Some students irrespective of their professions suggested that management of psychiatric disease is an important clinical situation where different profession work as team which can be more studied in the future. Young et al. [26] also reported that the positive IPE outcomes in the area of mental health practitioner competencies are related to the delivery of patient care. The multidisciplinary teams involved in dementia care [21] and Physical therapists assist dementia patients to optimize their physical conditioning [21] while Occupational therapists help the patients to improve activity of daily living [23]. Therefore; it is suggested to add these different skills in health professional's curricula to practice in future. The students rated lower median score for "performing procedure" is less important to improve IPE and higher score for "working in theatre & community care are useful clinical tasks to improve IPE. Some research findings were also shown as an example of inter professional practice that nurses, palliative care physicians, and family physicians play a vital role in working together to enhance usual palliative home care in the field of community based care [14].

4.2 Skills in IPE

Most health professional students in the present study rated higher median scores for 'communication skills' and 'respect for each other' which were important skills expected in working in a health care team. Communication skills are also considered as some major skills in IPE and had higher median score in the present study. The need for good interprofessional communication to help patient care in an effective manner is critical [7]. Clear communication among team members can alleviate some of the stress in the multidisciplinary team [3]. Some research studies indicated that the effective interprofessional collaboration can be undermined by a lack of understanding of roles of different professionals, limited communication and poorly coordinated teamwork [27,28,29].

Understanding group work and respect to each other skills are also considered as some important skills in IPE and had higher median score in the present study. But no statistical significant differences were identified between the different professional groups for those skills. This is may be due to the lack of knowledge about the importance of IPE among

students [30]. But the past studies had shown that the greater cohesiveness are associated with better clinical outcomes and higher patient satisfaction [4].

Might be due to the major involvement in laboratory activities, rather than moving with other healthcare workers directly outside the lab, except MLS students other professional groups rated significantly higher median values for the leadership skills. The leadership skills are useful to facilitate and lead the multidisciplinary group work [3] with encouraging the team's functionalities [5].

4.3 Teaching / learning methods in IPE

In the present study, it is identified that the physiotherapy, pharmacy, speech and language therapy and nursing groups showed significantly higher median values for the "Integrated ward classes" which is important for IPE.

Medical students' rated higher values for wards based teaching activities and low rates for class based teaching activities. Similar finding was shown by MacDonald [31] that medical professionals are reluctant to participate in learning tasks such as role play, small group sessions and Problem based learning (PBL) [31] but it is suggested that without these interactive learning tools, practicing IPE would be difficult.

Most students in the present study rated traditional lectures are less important to improve IPE activities and problem-based learning (PBL) and small group sessions were suggested to improve IPE. This finding is consistent with a study by Hall and Weaver [5] which stated that methods to teach interdisciplinary practice differ greatly from traditional lectures and PBL can be used to resolve the problems and helps students to work together [7,8,9]. Small group interactions were also served as an effective introduction to IPE [32]. But Hall and Weaver [5] stated that it will be a challenge to introduce these concepts to faculty in practical and useful ways.

5. CONCLUSION

The findings suggest that the IPE key elements tested in the paper are essential to improve the aims of the inter-professional learning. Most health care students agree that management of acute situation and community based care are the most important clinical situations which can be used to work as a team for inter-professional learning. Respect for each other and communication skills are most imperative skills can be improved through inter-professional learning. Physiotherapy, Pharmacy, MLS and Nursing students believe that teaching skills, recognizing limitation as a professional and leadership skills cannot be improved through IPE. Most students highly agree that demonstration and work based tasks at wards are key teaching methods for achieving the aims of inter professional learning while Medical, audiology and MLS students show that teaching/ learning methods are less important to improve IPE. The above results illustrated that the extensive amount of time, dedication and skill required by the faculty to successfully implement the interprofessional education program.

CONSENT

All authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the participants.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Review Committee, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo (EC/ 13/ 016).

REFERENCES

1. Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education (CAIPE) (1997, updated 2002) *Interprofessional Education: A Definition*. CAIPE bulletin no. 13. Available online at <https://www.caipe.org/resources/publications/archived-publications/caipe-bulletin-nos-13-1997-interprofessional-education-what-how-when> (accessed 19 January 2022).
2. Mariano C. The case for interdisciplinary collaboration. *Nurs Outlook*. 1989;37(6):285-8.
3. Weber MD, Karman TA. Student group approach to teaching using Tuckman model of group development. *Am J Physiol*. 1991;261(6 Pt 3):S12-S16. doi:10.1152/advances.1991.261.6.S12
4. Grumbach K, Bodenheimer T. Can health care teams improve primary care practice?. *JAMA*. 2004;291(10):1246-51. doi:10.1001/jama.291.10.1246
5. Hall P, Weaver L. Interdisciplinary education and teamwork: a long and winding road. *Med Educ*. 2001;35(9):867-75. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2923.2001.00919.x
6. van Diggele, C., Roberts, C., Burgess, A. *et al.* Interprofessional education: tips for design and implementation. *BMC Med Educ* **20** (Suppl 2), 455 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02286-z>
7. Reeves S, Perrier L, Goldman J, Freeth D, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes (update). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;2013(3):CD002213. Published 2013 Mar 28. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002213.pub3
8. Wahlström O, Sandén I, Hammar M. Multiprofessional education in the medical curriculum. *Med Educ*. 1997;31(6):425-9. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2923.1997.00669.x
9. Makaram S. Interprofessional cooperation. *Med Educ*. 1995;29 Suppl 1:65-69. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.1995.tb02893.x
10. Lary MJ, Lavigne SE, Muma RD, Jones SE, Hoeft HJ. Breaking down barriers: multidisciplinary education model. *J Allied Health*. 1997;26(2):63-9.
11. Clark PG, Spence DL, Sheehan JL. A service/learning model for interdisciplinary teamwork in health and aging. *GerontolGeriatr Educ*. 1986;6(4):3-16. doi:10.1300/j021v06n04_02
12. Bruhn JG. Problem-based learning: an approach toward reforming allied health education. *J Allied Health*. 1992;21(3):161-73.

Comment [u2]: an old date.
When was this study done?

13. Carpenter J. Interprofessional education for medical and nursing students: evaluation of a programme. *Med Educ.* 1995;29(4):265-72. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.1995.tb02847.x
14. Nelson JE, Cortez TB, Curtis JR, et al. Integrating Palliative Care in the ICU: The Nurse in a Leading Role. *J Hosp PalliatNurs.* 2011;13(2):89-94. doi:10.1097/NJH.0b013e318203d9ff
15. Morey JC, Simon R, Jay GD, et al. Error reduction and performance improvement in the emergency department through formal teamwork training: evaluation results of the MedTeams project. *Health Serv Res.* 2002;37(6):1553-81. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.01104
16. Calkins, Evan, and Bruce J. Naughton. "Care of older people in the hospital." *New ways to care for older people: building systems based on evidence.* Springer, New York, 1999. 99-111.
17. Wagner EH. Chronic disease management: what will it take to improve care for chronic illness?. *Eff Clin Pract.* 1998;1(1):2-4.
18. Hanlon JT, Weinberger M, Samsa GP, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of a clinical pharmacist intervention to improve inappropriate prescribing in elderly outpatients with polypharmacy. *Am J Med.* 1996;100(4):428-37. doi:10.1016/S0002-9343(97)89519-8
19. Leape LL, Cullen DJ, Clapp MD, et al. Pharmacist participation on physician rounds and adverse drug events in the intensive care unit [published correction appears in JAMA 2000 Mar 8;283(10):1293]. *JAMA.* 1999;282(3):267-70. doi:10.1001/jama.282.3.267
20. Bogden PE, Abbott RD, Williamson P, Onopa JK, Koontz LM. Comparing standard care with a physician and pharmacist team approach for uncontrolled hypertension. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1998;13(11):740-5. doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00225.x
21. Crooks EA, Geldmacher DS. Interdisciplinary approaches to Alzheimer's disease management. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2004;20(1):121-39. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2003.11.004
22. Colerick EJ, George LK. Predictors of institutionalization among caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1986;34(7):493-98. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1986.tb04239.x
23. Gaugler JE, Kane RL, Kane RA, Newcomer R. Early community-based service utilization and its effects on institutionalization in dementia caregiving. *Gerontologist.* 2005;45(2):177-85. doi:10.1093/geront/45.2.177
24. Harden RM, Sowden S, Dunn WR. Educational strategies in curriculum development: the SPICES model. *Med Educ.* 1984;18(4):284-97. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.1984.tb01024.x
25. Reader TW, Cuthbertson BH. Teamwork and team training in the ICU: where do the similarities with aviation end?. *Crit Care.* 2011;15(6):313. doi:10.1186/cc10353
26. Young AS, Chinman M, Forquer SL, et al. Use of a consumer-led intervention to improve provider competencies. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2005;56(8):967-75. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.56.8.967

27. Pethybridge J. How team working influences discharge planning from hospital: a study of four multi-disciplinary teams in an acute hospital in England. *J Interprof Care*. 2004;18(1):29-41. doi:10.1080/13561820410001639334
28. Reeves S, Lewin S. Interprofessional collaboration in the hospital: strategies and meanings. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2004;9(4):218-25. doi:10.1258/1355819042250140
29. Skjørshammer M. Co-operation and conflict in a hospital: interprofessional differences in perception and management of conflicts. *J Interprof Care*. 2001;15(1):7-18. doi:10.1080/13561820020022837
30. Olenick M, Allen LR, Smego RA Jr. Interprofessional education: a concept analysis. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2010 Nov 25;1:75-84. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S13207. PMID: 23745066; PMCID: PMC3643133.
31. MacDonald N. Limits to multidisciplinary education. *J Palliat Care*. 1996;12(2):6.
32. Cameron A, Lgnjatovic M, Langlois S, et al. An interprofessional education session for first-year health science students. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2009;73(4):62. doi:10.5688/aj730462

UNDER PEER REVIEW