

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_112239
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN AND SULPHUR LEVELS ON GROWTH ATTRIBUTES AND YIELD OF INDIAN MUSTARD [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss] IN VINDHYA PLATEAU REGION OF MADHYA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article entitled "EFFECT OF NITROGEN AND SULFUR CONTENTS ON GROWTH ATTRIBUTES AND YIELD OF INDIAN MUSTARD [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss] IN THE VINDHYA PLAIN REGION OF MADHYA PRADESH", has fulfilled the terms and conditions from a research point of view, and research results useful to determine the impact of nitrogen and sulfur nutrients on the growth and yield of Indian mustard greens. 2. I think the title given to the article is correct. 3. In my opinion, the writing and description in the abstract are good, you just need to simplify the keywords 4. In my opinion, the structure of the manuscript meets the writing requirements 5. In my opinion, the manuscript written is scientifically appropriate 6. The references given in the manuscript are too minimal, it would be better to add other supporting literature from various similar research results, this is to enrich the data and information to strengthen the research results. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Please check again as a whole, especially the writing structure, thank you</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>other improvement suggestions:</p> <p>Introductory Part: It would be better if the introduction section shows the steps or stages of the research which are described in the form of a flowchart or work flow. Show the novelty of the research you conducted, as well as its relationship to previous research.</p> <p>Conclusion: Provides a more structured and simpler picture that shows that the results of the research carried out are useful. Use percentage values to show the superiority of the method used compared to previous observations or research results.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chairuddin
Department, University & Country	Indonesia