

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_112469
Title of the Manuscript:	The Ransomware Epidemic: Recent Cybersecurity Incidents Demystified
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The Manuscript is necessary for scientific community as it is relevant to the security aspects.</p> <p>The article title matched to the contents covered in all the sections of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract of the article comprehensive and well defined.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript more appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct as the concepts covered in the manuscript have given the scientific justification.</p> <p>All the references are sufficient and from recent years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality needs to be improved a bit.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) Necessary block diagrams/Figures need to be included in the manuscript. 2) Alignment need to be properly done(Specially alignment of contents in the References section).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Review Details;

Name:	Vinod Desai
Department, University & Country	Sai Vidya Institute of Technology, VTU, India