

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_112859</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance Evaluation of Power Weeder with Different Blade Mechanism in Intra-Row Weeding Operation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript important for scientific community and plant and nutrition field.</p> <p>2. The title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes the abstract of the article comprehensive but should to add the Statistical analysis design used in the experiment.</p> <p>4. Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate but should mention the Statistical analysis program name or use design to analyse the experiment data at the end of the Materials and <b>method</b>. Unifying the arrangement of the indicators studied in both materials and methods of work, as well as in the results and discussion.</p> <p>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I have suggesting to adding additional new references range from 2014 to 2024.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	-	

**Commented [DB1]:** 3.1 Specifications of weeding machine

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Berna Krikor Jilenkerian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tishreen University, Syria</b>