

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110136
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanomaterial-Induced Modifications in Plant Physiology and Genetics for Optimal Crop Production Strategies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript contributes to the scientific communities.</p> <p>2. Title is better for me.</p> <p>3. Abstract was too long (348 words), and it need to minimized to 250 words.</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure of the manuscript need serious revision.</p> <p>5. Partially,</p> <p>6. Reference was not sufficient. Lack citation in most part of the manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has not language problem.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-Minimize abstract part to 250 words</p> <p>-Minimize the introduction part into one or one with half pages</p> <p>-Some paragraphs lack citation, thus it needs to cite updated/current finding</p> <p>-Objectives of the manuscript not clearly indicated; thus, it needs to clearly present</p> <p>-The introduction part also needs to indicate problem statement</p> <p>-The subtitles need to re-write by following ascending manner when numbering them example 2.6,5.3,5.4....</p> <p>-Citation lacks in most part of the manuscript, thus I strictly recommend to cite the current finding for the improvement of manuscript.</p> <p>#The overall skeleton of the manuscript needs revision in terms of arrangement following scientific manner.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tesfaye Lishan
Department, University & Country	Mizan Tepi University, Ethiopia