

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_112565
Title of the Manuscript:	Convergence among themselves and Middle-income trap of South-East Asian Nations: Findings from a New approach
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it investigates the critical issues of middle-income convergence and the potential middle-income trap in Southeast Asian nations. By employing advanced econometric methodologies and considering cross-sectional dependencies, the study offers valuable insights that contribute to the understanding of economic dynamics in the region.</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the research questions, methodology, and key findings of the study, offering a solid understanding of the paper's scope and contributions.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript appears well-organized, the paper provides a concise overview and the introduction outlining the background, objectives, and significance of the study.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Did the needful</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall it is a good.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laraib Javaid
Department, University & Country	The University of Agriculture, Pakistan