

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112731
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenicity and Molecular analysis of Fusarium isolates in Nendran and Grand Naine
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Accept after correction °</p> <p>2. In 2.1. Fusarium isolates: The eight isolates did not clearly indicate their respective race (physiological subspecies).</p> <p>3. In 2.3.3. Inoculation procedure of Fusarium in banana plants: the number of inoculated plants was not specified in the inoculation trial.</p> <p>4. Figure 1 displays the colony morphology of 8 isolates. The Foc isolates S6, S32G, S56, and S67 resemble wild types. Conversely, the Foc isolates S35, S39, S53, and S63 appear more like mutant types. It remains to be determined whether these are the main cause of non-disease after inoculation.</p> <p>5. No statistical analysis has been conducted in Table 2 and Table 3.</p> <p>6. The conclusion lacks sufficient discussion. While Foc isolates S35, S39, S53, and S63 do not cause disease after inoculation, this does not necessarily mean they can be used for biological control.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Su, J. F.
Department, University & Country	Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, China