

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112222</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on the Effect of Chemical Weed Management on Growth indices, Yield and Quality of irrigated Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important to inform the scientific community on the Effect of Chemical Weed Management on Growth indices, Yield and Quality of irrigated Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not comprehensive and not properly arranged: Aim of the study not included in the abstract, methodology not well explained, no conclusion and recommendation. The abstract should be rewrite by following these steps; introduction and aim of the study-1 paragraph, methodology-1 paragraph, findings/result-1 paragraph, conclusion and recommendation-1 paragraph (your abstract should have four paragraphs with no sub-sections or sub-headings).</p> <p>No: result, discussion and recommendation should be clearly explained.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Recent but not sufficient, more citations need to be included especially in the discussion section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Additional comments are included in the manuscript</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olagunju Johnson Adetuwo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria</b>