

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_111727
Title of the Manuscript:	For and against iris diagnostics - the ophthalmologist's opinion
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes (need some grammatical improvisation)</p> <p>Need Statistical analysis.</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Require improvisation</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have performed an survey analysis for the awareness of the population and the opinion of medical professionals on the issue of iris diagnostics in Bulgaria. Here are some suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly check the English grammar, may help improvisation in the paper format. 2. Kindly replot the images in good quality and use other formats than pie chart, such as bar graphs etc. 3. Also apply some suitable statical analysis, that will really increase the precision in the study. <p>Please keep appendix in separate page not with the references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nisha Gautam
Department, University & Country	Rutgers University, USA