

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_112527
Title of the Manuscript:	The Long run and short run impact of GDP and Real Income on Solid Waste in Nigeria using Vector Error Correction Model
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community going by the available data on quantum waste generations beyond the environment's carrying capacity, especially in the underdeveloped countries.</p> <p>It is suitable.</p> <p>It is very adequate.</p> <p>They are okay but the author can still improve on paragraphing. There should be space between each paragraph or the author makes do with the indent format.</p> <p>Absolutely correct.</p> <p>There are adequate but there is still room for use of recent references. The author used 45.2% of recent 5 years references.</p> <p>Very educative results and logical discussion but the author could as well add value to the work by making comparisons with similar works on solid waste either in agreement or in contrast.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is very suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Highly recommended for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Simon Stephen Mshelia
Department, University & Country	Federal University, Nigeria