

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111970
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF GRANTING GRAMEEN BANK CREDIT PATTERNS ON THE WELFARE OF PRE-PROSPEROUS WOMEN IN INDONESIA“Case Study of PT. Permodalan Nasional Madani”
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Identifying the effect of microcredit granting on welfare of pre-prosperous women in countries like in Indonesia is very important.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Better to be 'THE EFFECT OF GRAMEEN BANK MICRO-CREDIT GRANTING ON THE WELFARE OF PRE-PROSPEROUS WOMEN IN INDONESIA. "Case Study of PT. Permodalan Nasional Madani"	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No. Every abstract briefly describes the objective, methods, results and conclusions. This article did not describe specifically used descriptive quantitative methods. Simply mentioned 'The research method used is a quantitative descriptive method'. Specific method used has to be described.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	No. The method used is not correct. Better to use inferential statistics to identify the effect of microcredit granting to pre-prosperous women. Using descriptive statistics is not appropriate	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	yes	
<b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	General comments: 1. Better to review recent literatures 2. The introduction section of the article needs some modification. It is long and has no smooth flow between paragraphs.	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aderaw Gashayie Ayaliew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Civil Service University, Ethiopia</b>