

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112507
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of Rural Youth towards Agriculture as a Source of Livelihood in Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, this manuscript is important for specific communities, especially in India. 2. The title does an adequate job describing the topic. 3. An abstract is present, but there are missing some key elements (e.g., a description of the methods used such as the data collection and analysis used are not discussed in the abstract, the main finding was well-highlighted, the discussion and policy implication are missing. The author should put. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well presented, maybe there is some information, e.g., the demographic profile of the respondents is missing. 5. Yes, but still needs an improvement in terms of content, and all the content must be transparent so that readers can understand how the research was conducted, and how it was presented and discussed. 6. A few references have been used and only 1 reference is considered to be the latest one (2020). The author is recommended to update the references and use the recent articles (or 5 years back). 7. The methodology section is present but numerous elements of the methods are absent or not described. The total population in that selected area, how the sample size was obtained, and the sampling method used to gather the information from respondents. If the author used a questionnaire to collect the data, how many sections are contained in the questionnaire. The analysis used to analyse the data is also absent. 8. In a results and discussion section, there are limited discussion with some comparison to previous research. The authors seem just report the table of finding and do not provide enough discussion pertaining the findings. In addition, the author fails to discuss the key findings, and show a little or no critical analysis of research related to topic and compared to current study. Maybe the author should emphasize on discussion to discuss the table 3, why many of the rural youth are neutral towards agriculture as a source of livelihood. 9. The implication and recommendation for future research also might be added to the manuscript as well as the limitation during the research being conducted. 10. It is recommended to submit the manuscript to the proofreading to go through the sentences, words and terms used to improve the writing style. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are some grammar, punctuation, and spelling errors. The manuscript appears not to have been proofread.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marlia Musa
Department, University & Country	Mara Technological University, Malaysia