

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_108706
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of mycoplasma and its neisseria in asymptomatic women and study of their sensitivity to the aqueous extracts of tamarindus indica and syzygium aromaticum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The issue is of medical importance, for this reason it is viable to consider it in this work</p> <p>The title is appropriate</p> <p>The summary is understandable</p> <p>It is appropriate</p> <p>There are some doubts in the methodology, in the way in which mycoplasmas were evaluated. And these microorganisms do not form turbidity in the medium and their colonies are very small. That is why the question remains as to how they measured the inhibition zones</p> <p>I believe that they should improve the search for references to reinforce the work</p> <p>Review all the writing of the manuscript, there are writing errors, scientific names must be written in italics</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Review all the writing of the manuscript, there are writing errors, scientific names must be written in italics	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The topic is relevant, but corrections must be made (I indicate them in yellow in the file)	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Antonio Rivera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Mexico</b>