

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99284
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Dynamics of vegetable crops in Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka-An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is quite important for the scientific community, but in certain parts, such as in the method, the author does not convey what type of research it is</p> <p>the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>yes, comprehensive</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes i do</p> <p>the references not sufficient and recent, the author must add references to support the discussion of research results</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes,	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The article is quite good, however, what needs to be considered is that it is necessary to explain what type of research is and why this type of research was chosen. It is also necessary to deepen the discussion of research results by using more literature	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Moses Kopong Tokan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nusa Cendana University, Indonesia</b>