

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_112394
Title of the Manuscript:	Immunohistochemical expression of XIAP in mucoepidermoid carcinoma
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The subject of matter in the study is of importance in relation to its predictive expression as a prognostic marker and thus can be of value. The association with the more aggressive carcinomas adds value in early assessment and prevention.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Paragraphing needs to be revised esp. in second paragraph of results. The references need to be superscript and correlated appropriately.</p> <p>Author has mentioned this as a retrospective study, please clarify whether the samples were just analysed or were again processed.</p> <p>Kindly correct the reference no. 5 as it seems incomplete. There is pubmed and google scholar mentioned at the end of reference no. 17-20, please edit that. The author's names are incomplete in reference no. 22 and 33. The referencing style is altering and needs to be uniform.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is a spelling mistake in the first line of abstract, kindly correct that.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Conclusion can be better described and elaborated to convey the matter appropriately.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roopika Handa
Department, University & Country	ITS Dental College, India