

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_112217
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of social and economic motivational factors in the performance of health professionals involved in mental health care in Douala, Littoral Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Descriptive study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Neutral</p> <p>Suggest already</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need revision</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <input type="checkbox"/> Some sentences are incomplete or lack clarity. • Suggestion: Revise incomplete sentences to ensure clarity and coherence. <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of Parallelism: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mistake: Lack of parallelism in expressing specific objectives. • Suggestion: Ensure parallel structure in expressing specific objectives to enhance clarity. <input type="checkbox"/> Overgeneralization: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mistake: Making broad statements without sufficient evidence or specificity. • Suggestion: Provide specific examples or evidence to support general statements for a more robust argument. <input type="checkbox"/> Repetition of Study Objectives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mistake: There is repetition of the study objectives in different sections. • Suggestion: Consolidate and present the study objectives in a more streamlined manner, avoiding unnecessary repetition. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Wordiness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mistake: Some sentences are wordy and could be condensed for better clarity.• Suggestion: Trim down wordy sentences to convey the information more succinctly. <p><input type="checkbox"/> Lack of Cohesion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mistake: The transition between paragraphs could be smoother for better cohesion.• Suggestion: Use transitional phrases to improve the flow between paragraphs and sections. <p><input type="checkbox"/> Academic Tone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mistake: Some phrases are too informal for academic writing.• Suggestion: Maintain a formal and academic tone throughout the document for professionalism.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naveeda Sarwar
Department, University & Country	Pak International Medical College, Pakistan