

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_112363</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Malaria Infections, and High Serum Prostate Specific Antigen Levels among Individuals at the Rivers State University: Findings of a Free Medical Outreach</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript has some scientific importance to public health authorities and professionals in the designated area of study. The study evaluated the prevalence of infective and non-infective diseases by using serology testing.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of this paper looks suitable.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract looks comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, the sections and subsections appear to be appropriate.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript looks scientifically correct. My only concern is that the authors have used serological testing which is cheap and fast but may reflect previous infection as it detects the presence of antibodies.</p> <p>6- The used references look sufficient and recent.</p> <p>7- Authors should mention when the study was carried out.</p> <p>8- They should present a description for demographic and clinical characteristics for volunteers.</p> <p>9-The authors should mention that results are presented as frequency and percentage.</p> <p>10- Table 1 should be for male participants and not female.</p> <p>11- The subsection 3.2 should be titled as "Result of Positive and Negative cases for female participants".</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Writing quality is generally good.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>