

Review Article

SURFACE CHARACTERISTICS OF TOOTH AND EFFECTIVENESS OF DIAMOND POINTS - A REVIEW

ABSTRACT

Cutting efficiency of dental burs is sparse and inconsistent. Difficulty maintaining constant handpiece speed torque and controlled water spray action lack sufficient test materials mimic dental hard tissue. Diamond burs provided by manufacturers to dentists is coarse grit diamond burs with higher cutting rates. Medium grit burs favoured cutting instruments. Coarse grit diamond burs induce cracks in enamel while cutting. Diamond burs have one or more layers of diamond chips attached to the shank that get inserted into the hand piece. The shank is fabricated of high strength metal usually stainless steel, the working end or the cutting end of the shank is machined to specific shape or blank where diamond chips are attached. Therefore, the aim of this review is to determine the surface characteristics of the tooth and effectiveness of diamond points when prepared with different company products with subsequent usage. The study setting of this research is scoping review. The approval from the research committee was not required since it is a review. The minimum no. of articles are 18. In our research we reviewed a total of 50 articles. The sampling and data collection done by search engines such as PUBMED, Google scholar, bioRxiv, medRx, chemRxiv, CON, Mesh and from various journals of prosthodontics. The period of duration considered was upto 2018. Diamond burs are the usual rotary instruments used for many restorative procedures such as cavity preparation for direct and indirect restorations, enameloplasty, grinding and polishing of definitive restorations and removal of defective restoration. A proper tooth preparation is essential for aesthetics, acceptable prosthetic rehabilitation, fracture resistance and healthy soft tissues. Dental burs are most commonly used instruments during clinical and laboratory procedures. Medium grit burs favoured more of the cutting instrument. Coarse diamond grit burs induced cracks in enamel cutting. Dental cutting diamond burs are heavy handed and light touch. Damage to the enamel subsurface in tooth preparation can be caused by diamonds

Keywords

Diamond burs, tooth, cutting, heat, surface, dentistry

INTRODUCTION

The shaping of tooth structure and surface is an essential aspect of restorative dentistry. Initially, the process for shaping was entirely based on the use of hand instruments [1][2]. Most dental

restorations are extracoronary or intracoronary restorations or a combination of both. In order to maximize aesthetics, improve fracture resistance, optimize laboratory artistry and maintain soft tissue health meticulous tooth preparation is required. The potential damaging effect of temperature increases on pulpal surface during dental treatment. Preparation of cavities is regarded as a source of temperature increases and requires special attention [3][4]. The term bur is applied to all rotary cutting instruments that consist of bladed cutting heads which remove the tooth structure either by cutting or abrading. Restorative dentistry consists of a wide range of cutting instruments namely from steel burs to carbide burs to diamond abrasives. Bur selection is based on several factors like shape, clinical procedure being done, substrate being cut, their methods for sterilization and disposal [5]. The selection is complicated by the availability of the burs that drill in different sizes, coarseness and it gets more complicated by the fact that cutting efficiency of the burs tends to decrease as bur wears out and accumulation of debris on burs [3]. Diamond burs have one or more layers of diamond chips attached to the shank that get inserted into the hand piece. The shank is fabricated of high strength metal usually stainless steel, the working end or the cutting end of the shank is machined to specific shape or blank where diamond chips are attached. The dimensions and shapes of blanks determine the size and shape of the bur [6][7]. They are widely used in dentistry across the world for its aspects like gross tooth reduction, margin refining, enameloplasty and finishing restorations [8]. Coarse grit diamond burs are used for gross tooth reduction with the tooth surface characteristics [9][10]. Fluoride strengthens the surface of the teeth [11]. Gingival margins exposure during tooth preparation before impression making is one of the most technique-sensitive procedures for the dentist to perform [12].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study setting of this research is scoping review [13]. The approval from the research committee was not required since it is a review. The minimum no. of articles are 18. In our research we reviewed a total of 50 articles. The sampling and data collection done by search engines such as PUBMED, Google scholar, bioRxiv, medRx, chemRxiv, CON, Mesh and from various journals of prosthodontics. The period of duration considered was upto 2018.

After collection of all articles, more specific articles were collected by using keywords such as tooth preparation, cutting, efficiency, diamond burs, surface, tooth, dentistry. There was a clear 5 step process in selection of these articles.

- 1) Identification of clear objectives
- 2) Identification of relevant articles
- 3) Selection
- 4) Data extraction and charting
- 5) Analysis and report

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Cutting Efficiency

A proper tooth preparation is essential for aesthetics, acceptable prosthetic rehabilitation, fracture resistance and healthy soft tissues [14]. Dental burs are most commonly used instruments during clinical and laboratory procedures. They are manufactured in different shapes and sizes with various utilization [15]. Secondary infection can be removed by the use of aloe vera [16][17]. Diamond burs have better cutting efficiency than other burs like carbide or stainless steel [18]. Alteration of cutting efficiency of diamond burs depends on hardness of surface particles, sterilization or disinfection procedures, storage conditions, corrosion and multiple use of the bur [19]. The cutting efficiency of burs evidently decreases after multiple usage as the number of cuts increases regardless of the type of bur used [20]. The reduction is highest after first use and these burs require excessive pressure application during tooth preparation which generates undesired heat and waste of time [21][22]. In reference to [23], the study proves the cutting efficiency of diamond burs and that diamond burs should be after every 5 preparations and the author also suggests that diamond burs used in try-in stages of metal and zirconia should not be used for tooth preparation. Cutting efficiency of dental burs is sparse and inconsistent [24]. The common problem faced by dentists during tooth preparation is difficulty in maintaining constant handpiece speed torque to controlled water spray action. Lack of sufficient test materials mimic the dental hard tissue [25]. Excessive pressure induced by diamond bur for cutting the surface causes bone damage [26]

Diamond Burs

Diamond burs are the usual rotary instrument used for many restorative procedures such as cavity preparation for direct and indirect restorations, enameloplasty, grinding and polishing of definitive restorations and removal of defective restoration. It is necessary to use diamond rotary instruments with safe, fast and efficient cutting, avoiding damage to dental surface, fatigue and structure and reducing heat generation [27]. One of the important characteristics of diamond bur is its cutting efficiency. The cutting efficiency of diamond bur is affected by grit size, coolant flow, load applied by operator design, tooth structure removal, repeated use and sterilization [28]. Diamond burs have one or more layers of diamond chips attached to the shank. The shank is fabricated from high strength metal. The cutting end of the shank is machined to specific shape or blank and diamond chips are attached. The dimensions and shape of blank determines the ultimate size and shape of bur. The diamond particles used for making or manufacturing diamond burs vary among manufacturers and the important parameters consist of natural or synthetic diamonds, chip size and shape as well as the individual particle faceting. Natural diamond burs are more irregular in shape when compared to synthetic. Diamond burs provided by manufacturers to dentists are coarse grit diamond burs which are higher in cutting rate [29]. Greater heating affects the cutting efficiency of coarse grit diamond burs [30]. When compared, coarse grit diamond burs are generally preferred by practitioners than medium grit and fine grit diamond burs in reference to [31] where the author has compared the cutting rates of medium coarse and super coarse grit diamond burs. The width of the teeth affects the cutting efficiency

of diamond bur [2]. For hygiene and sanitary safety purposes, all devices must be cleaned, disinfected and sterilized before each usage to prevent any contamination [32]

Surface Characteristics

The surface characteristics in reference to diamond burs with reference [17]. Medium grit burs favoured more of the cutting instrument. Coarse diamond grit burs induced cracks in enamel cutting. Surface finishes done by fine grit diamond burs eliminate the cracks in reference to [5] because of differences in the dimensions of diamond particles used by individual manufacturers rugosities can vary quite markedly among burs of same nominal coarseness from different companies. Bur coarseness is determined by equations to mesh size of 120 to 140. A coarse grit bur is fabricated with chips sieved through mesh size of 80 to 100 and contains 150 to 160 diameter particles. The manufacturers way of quality control determines the range of chip dimensions within the mesh size used for each category of bur i.e, superfine, fine, medium or coarse.

Assessment of Burs

Dental cutting diamond burs are heavy handed and light touch. Damage to the enamel subsurface in tooth preparation can be caused by diamonds [5]. Assessing the cutting efficiency of dental diamond burs becomes necessary as using diamond burs with less cutting efficiency could lead to more pressure from the practitioners and causes heat generation that damages the pulp and pulpal tissues [33]. Clinical preference for diamond burs over tungsten carbide bur for a variety of dental procedures is based on greater resistance to abrasion, lower heat generation and longer lie in reference to [6]. In reference to [34] the authors examined or assessed the bur under optical stereo microscope and scanning electron microscopy. The results [19] shows that the difference in clotting efficiency could be due to burs morphology.

CONCLUSION

Hence it could be concluded that within the limits of the review, diamond points of super coarse grit are more effective in gross tooth preparation of tooth when compared to medium grit diamond burs but dental professionals should be aware of the associated effects of the coarse grit on surface finish, heat generation and enamel damage.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sturdevant CM, Roberson TM, Heymann HO. Operatoria dental: arte y ciencia 1996.
- [2] Jain AR, Nallaswamy D, Ariga P, Ganapathy DM. Determination of correlation of width of maxillary anterior teeth using extraoral and intraoral factors in Indian population: A systematic review. World J Dent 2018;9:68–75.
- [3] Ben-Hanan U, Regev M, Judes H. Temperature Measurements During Dental Cutting Using an Internally Cooled Diamond Dental Bur. J Mater Sci 2012.

- [4] Jyothi S, Robin PK, Ganapathy D, Others. Periodontal health status of three different groups wearing temporary partial denture. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2017;10:4339–42.
- [5] Sharma S, Shankar R, Srinivas K. An epidemiological study on the selection, usage and disposal of dental burs among the dental practitioner's. *Journal of Clinical and* 2014.
- [6] Siegel SC, Von Fraunhofer JA. Dental cutting: the historical development of diamond burs. *J Am Dent Hyg Assoc* 1998.
- [7] Selvan SR, Ganapathy D. Efficacy of fifth generation cephalosporins against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*-A review. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2016;9:1815. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00369.3>.
- [8] Siegel SC, Fraunhofer JA. Dental cutting with diamond burs: heavy-handed or light-touch? *J Prosthodont* 1999.
- [9] Siegel SC, Von Fraunhofer JA. Cutting efficiency of three diamond bur grit sizes. *J Am Dent Hyg Assoc* 2000.
- [10] Ganapathy D, Sathyamoorthy A, Ranganathan H, Murthykumar K. Effect of Resin Bonded Luting Agents Influencing Marginal Discrepancy in All Ceramic Complete Veneer Crowns. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2016;10:ZC67–70. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/21447.9028>.
- [11] Duraisamy R, Krishnan CS, Ramasubramanian H, Sampathkumar J, Mariappan S, Navarasampatti Sivaprakasam A. Compatibility of Nonoriginal Abutments With Implants: Evaluation of Microgap at the Implant-Abutment Interface, With Original and Nonoriginal Abutments. *Implant Dent* 2019;28:289–95. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ID.0000000000000885>.
- [12] Ganapathy DM, Kannan A, Venugopalan S. Effect of Coated Surfaces influencing Screw Loosening in Implants: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *World Journal of Dentistry* 2017;8:496–502. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10015-1493>.
- [13] Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Journal of Social Research Methodology* 2005.
- [14] Basha FYS, Ganapathy D, Venugopalan S. Oral Hygiene Status among Pregnant Women. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2018;11:3099–102.
- [15] Borges CFM, Magne P, Pfender E. Dental diamond burs made with a new technology. *Of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1999.
- [16] Subasree S, Murthykumar K, Dhanraj. Effect of Aloe Vera in Oral Health-A Review. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2016;9:609. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00116.5>.
- [17] Ashok V, Nallaswamy D, Benazir Begum S, Nesappan T. Lip Bumper Prosthesis for an Acromegaly Patient: A Clinical Report. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2014;14:279–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13191-013-0339-6>.
- [18] Bhagat TV, Thombare R. A comparative evaluation of functional efficiency of Diamond bur used with Distilled water, Distilled water modified by addition of Mouthwash and Lactated ringer *International Journal of Dental Clinics* 2012.
- [19] Galindo DF, Ercoli C, Funkenbusch PD. Tooth preparation: a study on the effect of different variables and a comparison between conventional and channeled diamond burs. *Journal of* 2004.
- [20] Bae JH, Yi J, Kim S, Shim JS, Lee KW. Changes in the cutting efficiency of different types of dental diamond rotary instrument with repeated cuts and disinfection. *J Prosthet Dent* 2014.
- [21] Laforgia PD, Milano V, Morea C, Desiate A. Temperature change in the pulp chamber

- during complete crown preparation. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;65:56–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(91\)90048-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(91)90048-2).
- [22] Ranganathan H, Ganapathy DM, Jain AR. Cervical and Incisal Marginal Discrepancy in Ceramic Laminate Veneering Materials: A SEM Analysis. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2017;8:272–8. https://doi.org/10.4103/ccd.ccd_156_17.
- [23] Emir F, Ayyildiz S, Sahin C. What is the changing frequency of diamond burs? *J Adv Prosthodont* 2018.
- [24] Gegauff AG, Rosenstiel SF, Johnston WM. Handpiece degradation associated with performance testing of diamond rotary cutting instruments. *Australian Dental* 1998.
- [25] Vijayalakshmi B, Ganapathy D. Medical management of cellulitis. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2016;9:2067. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00422.4>.
- [26] Ashok V, Suvitha S. Awareness of all ceramic restoration in rural population. *J Pharm Res* 2016.
- [27] Ajay R, Suma K, Ali S, Sivakumar JK, Rakshagan V, Devaki V, et al. Effect of surface modifications on the retention of cement-retained implant crowns under fatigue loads: An In vitro study. *Journal of Pharmacy And Bioallied Sciences* 2017;9:154. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_146_17.
- [28] Chung EM, Sung EC, Wu B, Caputo AA. Comparing cutting efficiencies of diamond burs using a high-speed electric handpiece. *Gen Dent* 2006.
- [29] Westland IRAN. The energy requirement of the dental cutting process. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 1980;7:51–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.1980.tb01463.x>.
- [30] Ottl P, Lauer HC. Temperature response in the pulpal chamber during ultrahigh-speed tooth preparation with diamond burs of different grit. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998.
- [31] Prithviraj DR, Saraswat S, Sounderraj K. Cutting efficiency and longevity of differently manufactured dental diamond rotary points-An in vitro study. *Journal Of Applied* 2017.
- [32] Kannan A, Venugopalan S. A systematic review on the effect of use of impregnated retraction cords on gingiva. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2018;11:2121–6.
- [33] Venugopalan S, Ariga P, Aggarwal P, Viswanath A. Magnetically retained silicone facial prosthesis. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2014;17:260–4. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1119-3077.127575>.
- [34] Siegel SC, Von Fraunhofer JA. Assessing the cutting efficiency of dental diamond burs. *J Am Dent Hyg Assoc* 1996.