

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_111783
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of balance and imbalanced fertilization on soil physical properties, growth and yield of rice in Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, as it aims at different chemical fertilization management and this associated with organic fertilization for rice cultivation. Yes. I recommend deleting just "in Chhattisgarh". In this case, the title may be: Effect of balance and imbalanced fertilization on soil physical properties, growth and yield of rice Yes. I recommend just entering the objective of the research. Yes, but they are presented very superficially or incompletely. Yes. No. Few references were used (only eight) and were outdated. I recommend using more up-to-date references that cover the subject of the research. <p>Analyzing the available file, it was possible to verify that the work requires revisions to be publishable. In this case, it will be necessary to review the Introduction (see comments in the analyzed file), describe the materials and methods in more detail and follow a logical and chronological sequence of all procedures adopted and followed to obtain the final results. The results and discussion also need to be reviewed, as the results were discussed very superficially and were not compared with others obtained in the specific literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narcísio Cabral de Araújo
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Southern, Brazil