

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109260
Title of the Manuscript:	Millets for Food and Nutrition Security: A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is timely because of the immense interest in climate-smart crops as sources of nutrition security</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not entirely. Some statements are inaccurate e.g. in the abstract; 'Millet is a potentially tremendous crop but under-explored as compared to cereals'- millets are also cereal grains Yes, however the author needs to provide more authoritative references. E.g Saleh, A. S., Zhang, Q., Chen, J., & Shen, Q. (2013). Millet grains: nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. <i>Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety</i>, 12(3), 281-295.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not entirely. The author should do a grammar check and avoid passive voice in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript will contribute new knowledge in the scientific community. However it should be published after the minor corrections.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Mbuku Mutinda
Department, University & Country	Egerton University, Kenya