

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Oncology Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AORJ_110322 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE OF PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CLINIC AT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL TOWARDS CAUSES AND METHODS OF PREVENTION OF CERVICAL CANCER |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. One of the most prevalent malignancies impacting women globally is cervical cancer. It is the main reason why the death rate for women is rising. Health professionals should talk about this essential topic with the women's society. 2. This is an effective title. It is short, easy to understand, and conveys the important aspects of the research. 3. The Abstract is adequately addressed. 4. This article's subsections are sufficient and properly explained. 5. Indeed, For example. They have made the right design and sample technique choices and have progressed scientifically step by step. Results, advice, and the significance of women understanding about cervical cancer are all clearly described. 6. Yes. Their sources are studies from the past few years, but they are also welcome to include additional research that discusses cervical cancer prevention. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes. English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication but I found few errors, such as repeated words and article error. the Author can consider about this before submission. (For Example, in the Main Objective <u>The broad objective is The objective of this study is</u>).</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>I am glad this manuscript was written by the author. It is a well-written, important, and helpful synopsis of the current state of the "women's emerging problem." The way the paper is structured and the references are used suggest a particular viewpoint, although they could be clearer.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. Subjects and methodology: Although there are some flaws in this area, there are also some recommendations for improvement. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study Population: Graphical representation of the sample selection process, including method and technique for simple random selection, would be beneficial to the readers. 2. If the author could elaborate on the scoring method a bit, including how it was carried out and how the knowledge and attitude levels were divided, it would be beneficial to the readers. B. Results and Discussion: The results are clearly presented and adequately addressed. The discussion is well written, adequately addressed and described about all aspect of the study. C. Conclusion: They stated clearly in the paper's conclusion that they were unaware of cervical cancer and its preventive methods. <p>In conclusion, The design and writing of this study are great and well-executed by the author. It covers a significant topic related to women's health in a clear and</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| | comprehensive manner. | |
|--|-----------------------|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | R. Ambiha |
| Department, University & Country | Nootan College of Nursing, Sankalchand Patel University, India |