

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111637
Title of the Manuscript:	A systematic review on the prospective significance and recommendations of pearl millet ( <i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> ) for diabetes mellitus
Type of the Article	Descriptive

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Important, because this text has not been widely studied and is very interesting to discuss in order to improve the dietary management of diabetes mellitus patients</p> <p>2. It needs to be improved by changing the systematic review to a narrative review so that it becomes A narrative review on the prospective significance and recommendations of pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) for diabetes mellitus</p> <p>3. Not, research methods need to be added</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>1. In the introduction: there was no explanation of the weaknesses of previous research so this research was carried out;</p> <p>2. There is no explanation of the method in this article, and is this a "systematic review"? it is best to use general terms such as narrative review.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The article in this research is interesting, but some improvements are needed	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ainun Mutmainah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>