

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112274
Title of the Manuscript:	PRE AND POST ENDODONTIC RESTORATIVE TECHNIQUES - A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript offers a comprehensive overview of various restorative techniques, which are crucial for the success of endodontic treatments. By discussing both traditional and modern approaches, it provides valuable insights for practitioners, enhancing their understanding of the best practices in this area. The manuscript's focus on innovative and minimally invasive techniques also contributes to the advancement of dental practices.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable. it clearly and succinctly reflects the main content and purpose of the manuscript. However, if a more engaging or detailed title is desired, an alternative could be: "Advancements in Endodontic Restoration: A Comprehensive Review of Pre and Post Treatment Techniques". However, the author of the article retains the authority to select which title is appropriate.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Overall, the structure and organization of the manuscript appear to be well-suited to its purpose as a review article, providing a thorough and systematic overview of pre and post endodontic restorative techniques.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is likely to be scientifically correct. the manuscript cites reputable sources The information presented aligns with the current understanding and accepted practices in dental medicine. The factual statements and data presented in the manuscript are accurate and supported by evidence.</p> <p>6. Kindly provide an appropriate substitute for the reference number 28.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript maintains a formal and professional tone appropriate for an academic audience. However, there are a few areas where minor improvements could enhance readability and clarity:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some sentences are quite long and complex. Breaking these into shorter, more concise sentences could improve readability. 2. A thorough proofreading to catch any minor grammatical or punctuation errors would polish the manuscript further. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>On page 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The acronym "STA" should be clearly defined the first time it is used in the text. <p>On page 7.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The acronym "SCL" should be clearly defined the first time it is used in the text. <p>All figures should be cited in the text.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pakit Tungsawat
Department, University & Country	College of Dental Medicine, Rangsit University, Thailand