

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_112293
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Distributions of Prime Number Gaps
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript presents an empirical approach to the problem of gaps between prime numbers by offering valuable insights into their statistical characteristics. Overall, this work can contribute to the scientific community by providing a perspective on prime number gaps distributions which can potentially influence future research in number theory. 2. The title of the article is consistent with the content of the article. 3. It is desirable to present the aim of this work in the abstract before presenting the data used and the results obtained. 4. The manuscript is well structured with appropriate subsections. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct in presenting the methodology used, findings and perspectives. 6. Please add more recent relevant literature. 7. With regard to research methods, it is wise to explain more clearly the rationale for using such statistical distributions used. 8. There are a few errors ("extra space," "space needed," "capitalized character"...). 9. Please improve the quality of some figures. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouach Yassine
Department, University & Country	Morocco