

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112681</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Weed Management in Soybean through Post Emergent Herbicides</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is good because comparing application some herbicides in different condition of weed to increase yield of soybean. Therefore, this article to be better if giving about cost of application herbicide, every herbicide can be compared itself which one is good both in application cost and effectivity in field.</p> <p>The title was better doe to "weed management" its means how to handle whole of the weed in soybean cultivation to reduce effect in yield.</p> <p>The abstract without conclusions and suggestion</p> <p>Subsections and structure was incomplete, . In methodology research without experimental design such as CRD or RCBD with some replicate, only application in one metre square.</p> <p>The manuscript must be corrected to be scientifically, in abstract not complete, conclusion and suggestion, and experimental design must be added.</p> <p>References very little in this manuscript, and only a few with similar research, added more references with similar research</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Before published, must be complete whatever not enough in this manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Bambang Surya Adji Syahputra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Panca Budi University, Indonesia</b>