

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112593</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Relationship analysis of Phenology, Stress Tolerance, and Mean Productivity in Wilt and Cold Stressed Chickpeas (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) Following Synthetic PGRs Application.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community as it addresses practical agricultural challenges, promotes sustainability, and contributes to our understanding of plant responses to environmental stress. Understanding how synthetic PGRs affect phenology and stress tolerance in chickpeas contributes valuable insights into enhancing crop resilience. This knowledge is essential for developing strategies to mitigate the impact of environmental stressors on crop productivity.</p> <p>2. Yes. Please replace chickpeas with chickpea in the title.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Please change the subsection <b>result and discussions</b> to 'results and discussion'</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript needs a minor improvement. In the introduction section, it is noted that the stated objectives of the study closely mirror the title. To enhance clarity and precision, it is recommended that the authors provide a concise elucidation of the study's objectives, thereby offering a more detailed insight into the specific goals pursued. Additionally, it is advisable for the authors to incorporate references to figures and tables within the text, duly specifying their corresponding numbers. This would contribute to the overall coherence of the manuscript and facilitate a more seamless comprehension for the readers.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the quality is okay. The manuscript contains few grammatical errors which must be corrected by the authors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The authors have employed the term "key points" instead of "key words" in the manuscript. Please rectify this.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akankhya Guru</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Janta Vedic College, CCSU, India</b>