

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112031
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological Characterization of Chilli (Capsicum annum L.) Genotypes
Type of the Article	research result

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. the manuscript important for scientific community If the results of this research can explain the importance of studying the morphological characteristics of chillies to obtain superior chili varieties</p> <p>2. The title of the article suitable</p> <p>3. The article abstract is not yet comprehensive, the background, objectives and conclusions are not yet aligned</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but the contents of the manuscript are not as expected</p> <p>5. You think the manuscript is scientifically correct, but needs improvement</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Quality articles in language/English that are suitable for scientific communication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Improvements are needed in the abstract, including background, objectives, methods and research results</p> <p>2. The research method must be clear and complete, if necessary, include the criteria for the analysis results.</p> <p>3. New Results and Discussion include research results with minimal explanation and discussion.</p> <p>4. Conclusions must answer the problem and research objectives</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tety Suciaty
Department, University & Country	University of Swadaya Gunung Jati, Indonesia