

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_112170</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Association studies in red rice mutant lines of IRGA-318-11-6-9-2B</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is significant to the scientific community.</li> <li>The title is appropriate and acceptable.</li> <li>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, although it has insignificant and many writing issues. Additionally, the authors should remove the words "background," "methods," and "results" sections, which have already been merged. Similarly, the abstract should have at least five keywords.</li> <li>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the subheadings and paragraphs should be aligned to the left.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically, correct. Although there may be many writing issues to correct.</li> <li>The references are sufficient and recent.</li> </ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OVERALL, the paper is robustly well-written and easy to follow, but the authors should proofread and correct any writing issues to improve the paper's clarity and organization. Plagiarism percentages should be reduced in the abstract and introductory sections.</li> <li>The author(s) should add recommendations and acknowledgments, and disclose any conflicts of interest.</li> <li>The author(s) should provide ORCID <i>id</i> in the revised copy. He can register for an orcid id for free via <a href="https://orcid.org">https://orcid.org</a>.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Omar Abdullahi Wafudu Handy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria</b>