

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_112033
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and sensory Properties of Biscuits produced from wheat, soybean and orange fleshed sweet potato
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. But, more quality is required</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. Need to be well-structured and articulated.</p> <p>Yes. Updating is more needed.</p> <p>Yes. Recent literature is required.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has many grammatical errors, no space between words, and spelling errors. The manuscript's text contains typographical and grammatical errors that should be thoroughly checked and apply required corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>What is OFSD, CFA, CFB, CFC, CFD, CFE and CFF? Please indicates the mentioned terms.</p> <p>The abstract session needs to be improved with the present findings and results.</p> <p>Why did you choose only three flour wheat, soybeans and orange flesh sweet potato for making biscuits?</p> <p>Give the abbreviations and use the chemical formula for the subsequent/consecutive usage.</p> <p>The quantified and statistical data must be provided at the end of the abstract section.</p> <p>Include recent and related literature in the introduction part;</p> <p>The main objective of the current research needs to be included in the introduction.</p> <p>Provide some quantified data at the end of the introduction section for easy understanding to wide-readers.</p> <p>The novelty of the work must be addressed and discussed.</p> <p>Compare your research with existing research findings and highlight novelty (compare your work with research findings and highlight novelty). Comparison should be made between the present research investigation with existing works.</p> <p>Preparation method needs to be elaborate in brief.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Provide the justifiable reasons in the results and discussion part.</p> <p>The introduction section lists the benefits of potato peel is chosen as feedstock for activated carbon preparation compared to other biomass-based feedstock.</p> <p>The novelty of the work must be addressed and discussed; compare your research with existing research findings and highlight novelty (compare your work with research findings and highlight novelty)</p> <p>The introduction section must be written with more quality, i.e., more up-to-date references addressed. The research gap should be delivered more clearly with the directed necessity for the conducted research work.</p> <p>The introduction section needs to be revised with objectives, experiments, essential results, and an overall conclusion.</p> <p>Redraw all Figures; the quality of the figures may be improved. High clarity of figures to be provided.</p> <p>Concluding remarks to be more precise and important findings are missing. Try to highlight your present study.</p> <p>The conclusion section lacks perspectives on future research work, quantifying the main findings of the present study.</p> <p>Check the format of the reference according to the journal.</p> <p>Give the correct space/gap for the entire manuscript.</p> <p>Give more justification in the results and discussion part.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Maniarasu
Department, University & Country	Thiagarajar College of Engineering, India