

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112642
Title of the Manuscript:	CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS ON THE LIVELIHOOD OF NORTH EASTERN ZONE TRIBES OF TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript will be important for the scientific community if thoroughly revised.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It lacks cohesion and needs to be rewritten.</p> <p>Section 3.4 should be removed. Instead, it should be incorporated into the recommendations under the conclusion section</p> <p>The references are recent but not sufficient. The authors did not compare their results with literature. Some parts of the manuscript are not cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article must be thoroughly edited and proofread for grammar checks and punctuation. Certain words are omitted within texts/sentences.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Below are my other comments for the authors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract needs to be rewritten. Set the context by introducing your study in few sentences, state the purpose of your study before you talk about the study area, sampling technique and sample size. Say descriptive statistics instead of percentage analysis. Revisit your conclusions and recommendations in the abstract. 2. The introduction section is poorly written. You were only stating what other authors stated. You need to provide some background introduction on climate change and its impact. Say something on awareness as well as adaption strategies. Your writing has to flow and connect with the preceding and the next sentence. Also, provide a justification for your study. 3. Materials and Method: Why Ex-post fact research design? Sampling technique is lacking in your methods. How did you arrive at sixty tribal respondents ? 4. Results: Include tables within text <p>In Table 1, I suggest you focus on awareness. Why did you use the same constructs to capture awareness and perception?</p> <p>Change No in the table to Freq How are the adaptation strategies in Table 3 related to the impact of climate change?</p> <p>While discussing your results, compare some of your findings to those existing in literature.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Caleb Adewale
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, United States