

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_112548 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | “Knowledge and Utilisation of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in Agricultural Sector, its problems and prospects- study in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam, India” |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes. (I have dotted many comments on the manuscript)</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract needs revising</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, but it needs deep revising</p> <p>6. The author should rework on the references</p> <p>☞ The findings of the research are presented from different angles and they are closely related to reality. This nature of such findings gives practical value to the research. The researcher gave recommendations based on the findings and also the researcher explained the social implication of the research and suggestions for further research studies clearly.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>☞ All references should be numbered consecutively in the order of their first citation, and the serial number is not to be used instead of the content of the sentence.</p> <p>☞ The Author fails to follow the structure of main manuscript.</p> <p>☞ The introduction is too short to express the background information of the study.</p> <p>☞ Sampling procedures are not clearly articulated.</p> <p>☞ Methods of data analysis are not clearly articulated.</p> <p>☞ Research Methodology: not clearly defined.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Mengistu Bululta Godana |
| Department, University & Country | Bule Hora University, Ethiopia |