

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_112548 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | “Knowledge and Utilisation of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in Agricultural Sector, its problems and prospects- study in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam, India” |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, manuscripts are important for the scientific community because manuscripts help enrich and deepen existing knowledge and manuscripts can train researchers to think critically, comprehensively, and are able to develop new knowledge.</p> <p>2. Yes suitable</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. All references are not current because this article was written in 2023, it is best to use references from the last 5 years, namely from 2019 onwards.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>- When writing scientific papers, the language used must be scientific. This means that the language is in accordance with the applicable rules of writing the language. This is to reduce differences in perception between writers and readers which will cause miscommunication between writers and readers.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name: | Diah Nurdiana |
| Department, University & Country | IBI-K57, Indonesia |