

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112063
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of skill development training programs on promotion of backyard poultry farming in arid region of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community. If it is structured like indicated in new title.</p> <p>2. The title of the article should be suitable if the title is structured like this " An impact of skill development training programmes on promotion of backyard poultry farming practices in Rajasthan. A case study of arid region.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article should be comprehensive if it is shortly introduced to indicate the importance of the article and Keywords must not be different from the words of article title.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate are not appropriate because they are some important title of research which are not present I.e Research Methodology(description of the study area, data collection methods, model used and its specification, data analysis method), Conclusion and recommendations etc.</p> <p>5. Manuscript should be scientifically correct if it follows the advice indicated in number 4 above.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, but should be harmonized and not be as oldest one. They should for instance not older than 10 years. Must be less than years old. For example" Ithika, C.S., Singh, S.P. and Gautam, G.(2013). Adoption of scientific poultry farming practices by the broiler farmers in Haryana. Indian Journals of Applied Animal Science. 2013; 3: 417 -422. is correct. The references with <i>et al.</i>, must all be in the italic form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English is quality of the article for scholarly communications but must be reviewed in order to more suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaspard Ntabakirabose
Department, University & Country	Rwanda