

## Original Research Article

# **Impact of Skill development training programs on promotion of Concerning backyard poultry farming in arid regions of Rajasthan**

### **Abstract**

A study was conducted to assess the impact of skill development training programmes on the promotion of backyard poultry farming practices in the arid regions of Rajasthan. The information was collected from 120 respondents with the help of a well-structured interview schedule cum questionnaire. A majority (99%) of the respondents adopted timely treatment of sick birds and 98% of farmers adopted feed formulation at the household level, culling, and selection, use of antibiotics, rearing of quality birds, and consulting veterinary doctors. 97% of respondents use feed supplements and 97% of respondents provided feed according to age. The data also showed that a similar adoption index was obtained for segregation of diseased birds, record keeping, and de-beaking (92%), while for feed supplement use was 88%, it is clear that maximum gain was obtained in consulting veterinary doctors (85%) followed by segregation of diseased birds (84%), feed formulation at household level and rearing of quality birds (82%), record keeping (81%), Feed supplement uses (79%), Post-mortem of dead bird by veterinary doctors (77%), while minimum gain of 53% was obtained for culling. The training imparted to the farmers increased their knowledge and they got acquainted with new technologies of poultry farming.

Keywords: de-beaking, Poultry, Respondent, segregation, training, de-beaking, segregation

### **Introduction**

Agriculture plays a vital role in the Indian economy. Over 70% of the rural households depend on agriculture. Agriculture is an important sector of the Indian economy as it contributes about 19.9% to the total GDP and provides employment to around 58% of the population. Livestock provides livelihood to two-thirds of rural communities. It also provides employment to about 8.8% of the population in India. India has vast livestock resources. The livestock sector contributes 4.11% of GDP and 25.6% of total Agriculture GDP. Indian economy is based on agriculture and allied sector, besides providing food and nutritional security, agriculture and allied sector also creates employment to the rural people in the shortest possible time. Livestock and Poultry provide a major contribution to the Indian Economy (Nath et al., 2012). Poultry production in India emerges as a poultry industry from a backyard poultry production system. Now a day, the availability of poultry products in the rural areas is very low or unavailable due to their higher prices. Training is very essential for capacity building and for strengthening the business economically by developing a scientific attitude to increase

their knowledge status and awareness of the present situation of the sector throughout the country and worldwide. It also creates interest of trainees in the poultry rearing if training is based on their actual needs (Bhattarai, 2008). The meticulousness of farmers and their education status are also accountable for the adoption of technological interventions (Rosaria, 1997). The main purpose of training is to bring desired change in the attitude/approach of farmers (Brough, 2004). Knowledge is essential for the proper utilization of genetic stock, available resources, economic information, and scientific poultry husbandry practices by the farmer to develop their business successfully and is ultimately linked with the increased socio-economic status (Boice, 2005; Eade, 2007; Sharma, 2010). Training improves a person's skill, and power of intelligence and also develops the desired attitude required for his work. (Dahama and Bhatnagar 1980). Training is the systematic process of acquisition of new skills, attitudes, and knowledge in the context of improving one's productivity in an organization. The capacity of the trainees in acquiring to acquire knowledge and technological skills depends on the receptivity of them.

Farmer rear indigenous or desi poultry birds (Non-descript) with comparative very low egg and meat production in backyard system or free range system. The farmers are unaware of the farm practices and various challenges related to poultry farming especially when the flock size increases. For making poultry farming economically viable in the rural areas, basic training related to poultry farming is of utmost importance. Training changes the level of knowledge and skills of the farmer and provides knowledge regarding the identification of improved backyard poultry birds, disease management, climatic stresses, feeding ingredients, and poultry waste management. Therefore, the present work has been designed to study this study to evaluate the impact of these programmes organized by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra on the adoption of backyard poultry farming.

## Materials and Methods

Krishi Vigyan Kendra Bikaner is one of the oldest Kendras of in Rajasthan to provide training programmes on skill development in poultry farming. The sample of the study was 120 trainees who acquired training on scientific poultry farming during the period from 2018-19 to 2020-21. A well-structured interview schedule was prepared on socio-economic characteristics, dimensions of enterprise, and performance of poultry entrepreneurs. This information was collected by using a structural questionnaire from the trained farmers by visiting & discussing with them personally and the data was analyzed for assessing the adoption of backyard poultry structure among farmers. To ascertain the adoption level of management practices by the farmers before and after the training, the adoption scale was provided with the list of technologies adopted by the farmer to tick on, thereby indicating the level that was in the adoption scale and to identify identifying constraints militating against the adoption level. Data was analyzed with both descriptive and inferential statistics.

## Statistical analysis?????

## Results and Discussion

In this study data presented in Table 1 shows the age, gender, education level, occupation, and land holding. Majority A majority (58.33%) of the poultry farmers had an age group between 31-40 years which was followed by the age group 20-30 years (25.0%), while 16.67% percent of respondents belonged to age group were of more than 40 years. It is obvious from the data that a

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

greater part of the respondents, who were ~~between age group of 31-40 years old~~, had a maximum adoption percentage of backyard poultry farming. The study indicated that a larger part (82.5%) of the respondents in arid regions in Rajasthan ~~was were~~ males, which indicated that adoption of poultry farming among rural women, is very low (17.5%). Among the respondents, 50.83% ~~percent~~ were in middle school followed by ~~(26.67%)~~ intermediate, ~~(15.0%)~~ primarily educated, ~~(5.0%)~~ graduate, and illiterate ~~(2.5%)~~. Similar findings were reported by (Nath et al., 2012).

It is also revealed from Table 1 that ~~the~~ majority (87.5%) of the respondents belonged to ~~the~~ farming community, 10.0% ~~percent~~ of respondents were landless labourers followed by businessmen (2.5%). It was concluded that ~~the~~ majority of the backyard poultry farmers were engaged in farming.

Table 1:-Distribution of respondents based on socioeconomic characteristics of the backyard poultry farmers

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age (years)		
20-30	30	25
31-40	70	58.33
>40	20	16.67
Gender		
Male	99	82.5
Female	21	17.5
Education level		
Illiterate	3	2.5
Primary	18	15
Middle school	61	50.83
Intermediate	32	26.67
Graduate	6	5.0
Occupation		
Farming	105	87.5
Land less labours	12	10.0
Businessmen	3	2.5
Land Holding		
<2hac	13	10.83
2-3hac	67	55.84
>5hac	40	33.33

**Knowledge level or score of poultry farmer:-**

Improper implementation of programs, illiteracy, poor marketing system, lack of scientific knowledge, and farms mechanization are the major constraints to uplift ~~the~~ socio-economic of the farmers. Poultry birds are very sensitive to ~~the~~ adverse climatic conditions due to feather ~~body~~ bodies and lack of sweat glands. ~~The~~ stress over a period of time can deteriorate the production performance and high incidences of stress-related diseases are avoided by providing feed supplements and promoting better housing facilities. Properly ventilated houses, a clean environment, and scientific waste management strategies are the pre-requisite ~~requisites~~ for poultry farming. Poultry house provides protection from ~~sects~~ harmful animals and the area of

the house depends upon the flock size. Before keeping the birds inside the poultry house, fumigate the farm or disinfectant with approved chemicals with great precaution. Wooden and rice bran can be used for making litter and litter should be dry and clean. Poultry feeder drinkers and other equipment should be kept at in the proper place and the number of feeder and drinkers depend upon the flock size and area of the house. Most of the farmers are unaware and a very less small number of farmers using use scientific management techniques in his/her poultry farm. The impact of training was significant and the average knowledge score of the trainees increased from 4.98 to 15.02 (out of 20; Table 2). Similar to the present finding Ram et al., 2017 also assessed the importance of training and concluded that the farmer needs training. Chatterjee et al., 2015 highlights highlighted the importance of farmers' training and stated that the success on of poultry production depends primarily on the locally adapted bird employed, favourable environment, and availability of good feed. However, Kabir et al., (2015) also studied the impact of poultry farming on the socio-economic condition of Bangladeshi farmers and concluded that the 38% of the farmer's socio-economic condition got improved due to family poultry farming.

Table-2 Knowledge level or score of poultry farmer (based on questionnaire)

S. No.	Poultry Farm Management Practices	Average Score (Out of 20)	
		Before Training	After Training
1	Housing, Sanitation, and waste management	5.76	14.24
2	Disease Management and Schedule Vaccination	3.05	16.95
	Poultry Nutrition and Feed Formulation	8.26	11.74
3	Stress Management of Poultry	2.72	17.28
4	Abnormal Behaviour of Poultry	5.11	14.89
5	Overall Average Score	4.98	15.02

### Training needs areas among poultry farmers:-

The training needs of poultry farmers for major activities are represented in the Table 3. The comparative less important areas that required training in the order were as Chicks rearing/Brooding management, Feed preparation/formulation, Vaccination & Preventive measures, Disease diagnosis & healthcare, Poultry shed & Housing management Management, Feeding & watering management, Layer management Management, Bird/meat/egg marketing, Incubation/hatching, Culling/Selection of birds, Chick purchasing, Compost preparation, Breeding/mating aspect and maintenance of records/accounts. However, knowledge about breeding/mating aspect and brooding among rural poultry is very crucial which can increase productivity as well as sustainability of the system. Selection of cockerel and replacement of males in the flock is compulsory to reduce the inbreeding effect at the farm (Gawande et al., 2007; Kapur, 2008). It could be observed from the results depicted in Table 3 that the Chicks rearing/Brooding management, Feed preparation/formulation, Vaccination & Preventive measures, Disease diagnosis & healthcare are the most important training need areas in which participation is high and those areas are felt to be the fundamental areas. Training activities are very important to pick up their knowledge and aptitude, to increase acceptability/adoption of new scientific/modern interventions. Adoption-The adoption of technologies was better among the higher educated masses. Gender had a significant role in finance activities. Majority—The majority of the farmers desired training by the non-

sitedemonstrationmethod(64.5%).However,restrequirestrainingbyusingthedifferent extension methods like exposure visits (25.5%) and lectures withfield trips (10.0%). These findings were inagreement with the report of Taneja (1998). Site demonstrations providemulti-sessioninteractionwithan expertattheirfarm during frequentvisits at any stage of development. On-farm demonstrations would helpinbetterappreciationandacceptanceofscientificinterventions/modern practices. Severalfarmerspreferindigenousbirdsbecause; they are less demanding and less prone to be disease andinternal/external parasitic infestation. Moreover, the native birds aremore sustainable in the prevailing circumstances. Several years afterindependenceduetowrongplanning,thestatusofruralpoultrydevelopment in targeted areas is very poor.It might be due to thereasonthattheproducersdonotadoptimprovedbreedsandtechnology at the desired level because of the un-availability and inadequate supply of chicks, the low genetic potential of birds, high mortality duringextreme winter and summer, lack of loan facilities, and high rate ofinterest, costly feed, inadequate knowledge about scientific feeding,health care, and management etc (Mehta *et al.*, 2002; Pica-CiamarraandOtte,2009).Apartfromthisnecessaryfacilitiesregardingdiagnosis,prevention,vaccinationandcontrolmeasuresforsafeguarding the health and production of poultry need to be extended invillage areas (Kataria*et al.*, 2005; Dhama*et al.*, 2008a,b,c; Dhama*et al.*,2011;Dhama*et al.*,2013a,b,c,d,e,f).Thiswouldhelpinthe adaptation and propagation of popular poultry farming as a popularbusinessandsourceofregularandsustainedincomeinruralareas.

Table 3:- Trainingneedsareasamongpoultryfarmers

S.No.	Trainingneedarea	Trainees / Farmers	Rank
1	Chicksrearing/Broodingmanagement	120	I
2	Feedpreparation/formulation	110	II
2	Vaccination&Preventivemeasures	94	III
4	Diseasediagnosis&healthcare	92	IV
5	Poultryshed&Housingmanagement	88	V
6	Feeding&wateringmanagement	84	VI
7	Layermanagement	78	VII
8	Bird/meat/eggmarketing	76	VIII
9	Incubation/hatching	72	IX
10	Culling/Selectionofbirds	70	X
11	Chickpurchasing	63	XI
12	Compostpreparation	56	XII
13	Breeding/matingaspect	50	XIII
14	maintenanceofrecords/accounts	48	XIV

#### Adoption of improved management practices by farmers before training:-

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that 42%percent-of respondents were aware of culling, 32%percent-of the use of antibiotics, 29%percent-of respondents of timely treatment of sick birds,28%percent-of the use of de-beaking, 26%percent-of respondents frequent visit to birds, 21%percent-of respondents provided feed according to poultry age, 18%percent-of farmers were aware with the use of disinfectants in farm and 16%percent-of farmers were rearing quality birds and feed formulation, however low adoption was recorded in the post-mortem of dead bird by veterinary doctors (0%), brooding management(15%), record keeping (11%) and in

use of feed supplementation (9%), Consulting veterinary doctors (13%) and segregation of diseased birds obtained mean score of 8 percent. Thus, the data revealed that before training maximum respondents had medium to low adoption of poultry farming. It also showed that before training ~~of-in~~ improved management practices, poultry farmers were not ~~much~~ ~~very~~ aware ~~about-of~~ the post-mortem of dead birds by veterinary staff, segregation of diseased birds, feed supplement uses, brooding management, record keeping, and feed formulation at ~~the~~ household level. Similar findings were also reported by Ezeibe et al., 2014.

**Adoption of improved management practices by farmers after training:-**

There is a significant adoption of all the improved poultry management practices after training (Table 4). A majority (99%) of the respondents adopted timely treatment of sick birds and ~~98% of percent~~ farmers adopted, feed formulation at ~~the~~ household level, culling and selection, use of antibiotics, rearing of quality birds, and consulting veterinary doctors. ~~97% percent of~~ respondents use feed supplements, ~~and 97% of percent~~ respondents provided feed according to age. The data also showed that ~~a~~ similar adoption index was obtained for ~~the~~ segregation of diseased birds, record keeping, and de-beaking (92%), while for feed supplement use was 88 percent. The view for ~~the~~ above management practices ~~were-was~~ also supported by Ithika et al., 2013. It is clear that maximum gain was obtained in ~~ease-of~~ consulting veterinary doctors (85%) followed by segregation of diseased birds (84%), feed formulation at ~~the~~ household level and rearing of quality birds (82%), record keeping (81%), Feed supplement uses (79%), Post-mortem of dead bird by veterinary doctors (77%), while minimum gain of 53 percent was obtained for culling.

Table 4: -Adoption level of improved management practices before and after the training

Sr. no	Management Practices	Adoption index (%)		Gain (%)
		Before	After	
1	Brooding management	15	79	64
2	Use of disinfectants <del>in-on</del> farm	18	74	56
3	Feed formulation at <del>the</del> household level	16	98	82
4	De-beaking	28	92	64
5	Timely treatment of sick bird	29	99	70
6	Culling & selection	45	98	53
7	Record keeping	11	92	81
8	Use of antibiotics	32	98	66
9	Frequent visits to birds	26	97	71
10	Post-mortem of dead bird by veterinary doctors	0	77	77
11	Feed supplement uses	9	88	79
12	Rearing of quality birds	16	98	82
13	Provide feed according to age	21	96	75
14	Segregation of diseased birds	08	92	84
15	Consulting veterinary doctors	13	98	85

**CONCLUSION?????????**

**REFERENCES**

1. Bhattarai, T.C. 2008. Poultry production scenario of Nepal. Paper presented in Poultry Entrepreneurs’ Forum, Kathmandu with collaboration with World Poultry Science

**Comment [es1]:** MUST BE UPDATED as ZERO% (ZERO out of 28) of the listed references were published in the past five years. The percentage has to increase to at least 35-40%. Old references negatively impact the study and indicate that the study is no longer a point of interest

Association.

2. Boice 2005. Better Building Blocks. Advancing Philanthropy, 50:16–19.
3. Brough, P. 2004. Systemic capacity building: a hierarchy of needs. Oxford University Press. pp. 336–345.
4. Chatterjee, R.N. and Rajkumar, U. (2015). An overview of poultry production in India. Indian Journal of Animal Health, 54(2), pp. 89-108.
5. Dhama OP, Bhatnagar OP. “Education and communication for development”. Oxford and IBH publishing company, New Delhi, 1980.
6. Dhama, K., M. Mahendran and S. Tomar, 2008a. Poultry health care and management strategies for socio-economic development of rural farmers. Poultry World, 2(12):24-29.
7. Dhama, K. and M. Mahendran, 2008b. Technologies and advances in diagnosis and control of poultry diseases: safeguarding poultry health and productivity. Poultry Technology, 2(12): 13-16.
8. Dhama, K., M. Mahendran, P.K. Gupta and A. Rai, 2008c. DNA Vaccines and their applications in Veterinary Practice: Current Perspectives. Vet. Res. Commun. 32(5):341-56.
9. Dhama, K., M.Y. Wani, R. Deb, K. Karthik, R. Tiwari, R. Barathidasan, A. Kumar, Mahima, A.K. Verma and S.D. Singh, 2013a. Plant based oral vaccines for human and animal pathogens– a new era of prophylaxis: Current and future perspectives. J. Exp. Biol. & Agri. Sci., 1(1): 1-12.
10. Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty, A.K. Verma, R. Tiwari, R. Barathidasan, A. Kumar and S.D. Singh, 2013b. Fungal/Mycotic diseases of poultry – Diagnosis, treatment and control: A review. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 16(23): 1626-1640.
11. Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty, R. Tiwari, A. Kumar, A. Rahal, S.K. Latheef, M.Y. Wani, and S. Kapoor, 2013c. Avian/bird flu virus: poultry pathogen having zoonotic and pandemic threats – a review. J. Medical Sciences, 13(5): 301-315.
12. Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty and R. Tiwari, 2013d. Panchgavya therapy (Cowpathy) in safeguarding health of animals and humans – A review. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 3(7): 195-208.
13. Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty, Mahima, M.Y. Wani, A.K. Verma, R. Deb, R. Tiwari, and S. Kapoor, 2013e. Novel and emerging therapies safeguarding health of humans and their companion animals: a review. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 16(3): 101-111.
14. Dhama, K., V. Verma, P.M. Sawant, R. Tiwari, R.K. Vaid and R.S. Chauhan, 2011. Applications of probiotics in poultry: enhancing immunity and beneficial effects on production performances and health - A review. J. Immunol. Immunopathol., 13(1): 1-19.
15. Eade, D. 2007. Capacity Building an Approach to People-Centered Development. UK and Ireland: Oxfam. pp. 35.
16. Ezeibe ABC, Okorji EC, Chah, JM and Abudei R.N. Impact of entrepreneurship training on poultry farmer’s adoption of improved management practices in Enugustate, Nigeria. Academic Journals. 2014; 9:1604-1609.
17. Gawande, S.S., N. Kalita, N. Barua and K.K. Saharia, 2007. Indigenous chicken farming in rural conditions of Assam, India. Family Poultry, 17(1-2): 15-29.
18. Ithika, C.S., Singh, S.P. and Gautam, G. Adoption of scientific poultry farming practices by the broiler farmers in Haryana. India. Indian Journals of Applied Animal Science. 2013; 3: 417 -422.

19. Kabir, M. S., Asaduzzaman. M. and Dev D. S. (2015). Livelihood improvement through family poultry farming in Mymensingh district. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 13(2), 247–256.
20. Kapur, V. 2008. Pioneering Micro-Entrepreneurship through Poultry Breeding and Distribution in Rural India. *Innovations – Technology, Governance, Globalization*, 3(1): 37-51.
21. Kataria, J.M., M.C. Mohan, S. Dey, B.B. Dash, K. Dhama 2005. Diagnosis and immunoprophylaxis of economically important poultry diseases: A Review. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 75(5): 555-567.
22. Mehta, R., R.G. Nambiar, S.K. Singh, S. Subrahmanyam and C. Ravi, 2002. Livestock industrialization, Trade and Social-Health- Environment Issues for the Indian poultry sector. Annex II, Part of IFPRI-FAO, Livestock Industrialization Project.
23. Nath BG, Toppo S, Chandra R, Chatlod LR and Mohanty AK. Level of adoption and constraints of scientific backyard poultry rearing practices in rural tribal areas of Sikkim, India. *Journal of Animal and Feed Research*. 2012; 2:133-138.
24. Pica-Ciamarra, U. and J. Otte, 2009. Poultry food security and poverty in India: Looking beyond the farm gate. *Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative*, pp. 1-14.
25. Ram, D., Singh, M.K. and Laishram, J.M. (2017). Training needs assessment of poultry farmers in Imphal West and Imphal East of Manipur. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(9), 2218-2227.
26. Rosaria, K.J. 1997. Processing to Boom in India. *Poult. Int.*, 36(8): 16-20.
27. Sharma, B. 2010. Poultry production, management and bio-security measures. *The J. Agri. Environ.*, 11: 120-125.
28. Taneja, V.K. 1998. Women in livestock production and economics. *Indian Farming*, 7:55

UNDER REVIEW