

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112063
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of skill development training programs on promotion of backyard poultry farming in arid region of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Importance: They conducted an assessment of the impact of skill development training programs on the promotion of backyard poultry farming practices in the arid regions of Rajasthan. Title: Long and preferred modified into "Skill Development Programs Concerning Backyard Poultry Farming in Arid regions of Rajasthan". Abstract: Please note the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Provide an opening statement of one or two short sentences before illustrating the aim. Use the sign of (%) instead of writing it down. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding. Structure of the manuscript: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Keywords: Re-arrange in alphabetical order as suggested. Introduction: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improperly displayed in two paragraphs. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. The aim: A few modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding Materials and methods: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a geographical map of the study area showing the sampling sites with the source and a reference for it. Provide the structured questionnaire used for the interviews. Provide a statistical analysis section with all the necessary details, a reference for the used software, and the statistical model. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. Results: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce the illustration of numerical values in the results section as they have been listed in the tables and instead, you can express them in your language. Use the sign of (%) instead of writing it down. Many modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Discussion: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear to a certain extent. Moderate speculation and comparisons. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Conclusion: Not listed. Tables: Well-presented and organized. Scientific Soundness: The current study highlighted that the training imparted to the farmers increased their knowledge and they got acquainted with new technologies of poultry farming. References: MUST BE UPDATED as ZERO% (ZERO out of 28) of the listed references were published in the past five years. The percentage has to increase to at least 35-40%. Old references negatively impact the study and indicate that the study is no longer a point of interest. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General view: The manuscript misses some section such as the conclusion and the appendices. Professional copyediting and proofreading, the necessity to update the references, and adding a conclusion have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt