

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_112510
Title of the Manuscript:	A LOOK AT SCIENTIFIC NURSING PRODUCTION ABOUT ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE: A BIBLIOMETRIC STUDY
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indeed, this manuscript is essential to improving nursing knowledge and understanding to improve quality of life of the Alzheimer's Patients. 2. The title is appropriate, however it needs to be restated to look more meaningful. 3. The abstract is inadequate. 4. The structure has key sections such as Aims, methodology, results, and conclusion the recommendation can also be added in this section. The conclusion section can be modified it seems that only few countries conducted researches on the said topic. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, references are recent, which is within the acceptable threshold 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, with a few exceptions require the writers to do another check.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Although this is a good piece of work, the qualitative and quantitative approaches need to be clearly defined. The graphs need to be more clear for easy understanding of the readers. This study highlighted the point that since 2016 the number of researchers in this area is declining so this point should be highlighted to grab the attention of other nurse researchers to do more work in this area to fill the gap.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeeda Akbar
Department, University & Country	Nursing College, Pakistan