

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Archives of Current Research International  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ACRI_111864  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Identification and Characterization of Market Garden Crops Grown Near Landfills in Abidjan District |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>A substantial amount of data from this study allowed for the mapping of market garden locations and uncontrolled landfill sites, the inventory of market garden species grown on these various sites, and the identification of production limits.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Please rewrite this section based on IMRAD</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Additional suggestions:<br/>Please add the strengths and limitations of your study</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Santy Irene Putri</b>                          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Tribhuwana Tungadewi University, Indonesia</b> |