

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_110077
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Neuroprotective Effects of Atorvastatin and Ethanolic Fruit Extract of <i>Fragaria Ananassa</i> on the Cerebellum of Methamphetamine- Intoxicated Adult Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	yes	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	no	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The abstract starts with the same sentence as the introduction. This should be changed. Previous studies should not be mentioned in the abstract.</p> <p>The material method mentioned in the abstract is inadequate: For example, the substances applied to the experimental groups are not understood. The methods of evaluation are not specified. In short, the abstract should be rewritten to describe the study.</p> <p>The introduction is too long and needs to be shortened.</p> <p>In the method section, it is incorrect to describe the determination of MDA, an indicator of lipid peroxidation, under the heading "antioxidant assay". This section needs to be corrected.</p> <p>In the title "Experimental Design", it is mentioned that "Morris water maze test and hanging wire test" were conducted. However, when describing the methods, only the "hanging wire test" is mentioned. There is no finding about Morris water maze test in the results.</p> <p>The title "Experimental Design" says that serum samples were collected to determine biochemical parameters. However, there is no parameter studied in serum.</p> <p>Some results are given under the headings "Qualitative Phytochemical Screening" and "Quantitative Phytochemical Screening". The method of these analyzes is not described in the "material-method" section.</p> <p>Results are not written in academic language (no mention of p-values, etc.)</p> <p>Interpretations of histologic images are not mentioned in the results section.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>The discussion is quite inadequate.</p> <p>There is also a crucial lack of information for study design. Is atorvastatin lipophilic enough to cross the blood-brain barrier?</p> <p>The manuscript has numerous spelling and language errors.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	E. Gulceri Gulec Peker
Department, University & Country	Giresun University, Türkiye