

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_111368
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Language Identities, Ideologies and Linguistic Landscapes of Minority Languages in Hong Kong: Pilot Study in Chungking Mansions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, because although the study is a pilot study but it investigates the crucial issue of identities through language association which is a good addition.</p> <p>It is suitable. Although it is a bit lengthy but it captures a gist of the paper.</p> <p>The abstract it good but it need more coherence. It is recommended to include more transition devices to make it appear as an organic whole.</p> <p>Chapter should include research questions, research objectives as well as significance and rationale of the research are also missing. These sub-sections in chapter one are must.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There are enough references but the introduction section has no reference which makes the whole introduction made of sweeping statements. Few references must be introduced in that section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Apart from the "Findings and Discussion" section where language use is not clear the rest of the paper has appropriate and well structured language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazeef Ullah
Department, University & Country	Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology, Pakistan