

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128322
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change Impact on Malampuzha reservoir inflow: a CMIP6 approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is important. Climate change is one of the causes of changes in hydrological phenomena. From this perspective, and according to climate conditions, estimating the water flow to the reservoir is the basic information that policy makers need for planning for the optimal operation of the water reservoir.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references used in the manuscript are few.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the manuscript needs proofreading.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction lacks a literature review as well as a statement of research gaps and novelty. - It is necessary to create a Nomenclature. - The manuscript is free of conclusions. The conclusion is an essential part of any scientific research that includes the most important results reached by the study, with the possibility of presenting some suggestions and recommendations for future research. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saif Ali Kadhim
Department, University & Country	University of Technology- Iraq, Iraq