

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128046
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc, Potassium, and Boron on Fruit Set and Retention in Kinnow Mandarin
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The principal contribution of this manuscript is its investigation of the role of essential nutrients like zinc, potassium, and boron in improving fruit set and retention can directly contribute to increased yield and profitability for citrus growers farmer. As Kinnow Mandarin is a popular cultivar in citrus growing area, the outcomes of this research have a potential to benefit of farmers and researchers.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article sounds good.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is strongly suggested that the author revises the abstract and add some crop introduction and delete some extra sentence which is not necessary for abstract.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Need some necessary correction and if possible then providing some photographs regarding research work to clarify results.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and mostly recent.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the article sounds good. However, some minor revision is required.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The author should reduce the number of tables, I think one table is enough. In the discussion section contains numerous unnecessary sentences and should be rewritten concisely</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Subrata Mahato</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India</b>