

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128046
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc, Potassium, and Boron on Fruit Set and Retention in Kinnow Mandarin
Type of the Article	Research Paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is providing valuable information for scientific community. In this manuscript, kinnw is important fruit having nutritional importance, the role of micronutrients Boron, Zinc and Potassium micronutrients highlighted how increase the productivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract contains appropriate information and requires to remove excess information	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, manuscript contains scientifically correct useful research community	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and requires to update in proper format	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Reasonably good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is acceptable after incorporating suggest comments and correction  PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra P. Maurya
Department, University & Country	Suresh Gyan Vihar University, India