

Assessing Broiler Meat Quality using Abattoir Waste as Protein

ABSTRACT

Quality characteristics of muscle foods are influenced by muscle appearance, color, texture, juiciness, mouth feel characteristics, etc. These quality parameters depend on many predetermining factors affecting the live animal before being converted from muscle to meat. This study was designed to evaluate the quality of broiler muscle-fed abattoir waste as an alternative protein. A total of 150-day-old Ross breed chicks were used for the experiment. Abattoir waste was sourced from a nearby abattoir's waste management and the wastes used were blood, bones, rumen contents, horns, and hooves. The experiment was conducted by producing five dietary treatments which consisted of: Treatment 1: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 5%; Treatment 2: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 10%; Treatment 3: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 15%; Treatment 4: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 20%; and Treatment 5: Compounded feed with abattoir. The experimental design was completely randomized (CRD) and all the data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the procedure of SAS (2010). Statistically significant observed means were compared using the Tukey test of the same package at a 5% probability level. The results of this research work revealed that the inclusion of abattoir wastes in broiler feed affects the chicken meat positively in treatment 1 (5%) and treatment 5(0%) since they gave the best quality of broiler muscles.

Keywords: Broilers, abattoir waste, alternative protein, muscle quality, dietary treatments

INTRODUCTION

The quality of poultry meat is increasingly important as consumers now prefer cuts or processed products over whole carcasses (Hassan et al., 2017). Meat consists of muscle, connective tissue, fat, and bone, with muscle accounting for approximately 75% water, 20% protein, and 5% fat, carbohydrates, and minerals. The appearance, color, texture, juiciness, and mouthfeel determine meat quality, which is influenced by factors affecting the animal before it is converted into meat (Maxwell, 2017). Breast and thigh muscles are highly valued for their culinary properties, and water-holding capacity, pH, color, and tenderness are key indicators of quality (Hascik et al., 2015). These factors are influenced by environmental conditions, particularly feed, which can degrade or enhance muscle quality and affect consumer acceptance (Lorenzo et al., 2013). With increasing demand for poultry and a focus on meat quality (Hassan et al., 2019), there is a need to reduce feed costs while maintaining quality. Abattoir waste presents a potential alternative protein source, offering a cost-effective, locally available feedstuff for broilers (Onunkwo et al., 2018). This study evaluates the effect of abattoir waste on broiler muscle quality. Previous studies suggest that monogastric can obtain adequate protein from abattoir waste mixtures (Onunkwo et al., 2018), but research on its effects on the organoleptic qualities of broiler meat remains limited. The study aims to evaluate the proximate and mineral composition, microbial load, cholesterol levels, and palatability of broiler meat-fed abattoir waste.

Appearance is a crucial quality attribute, as consumers associate it with freshness. Factors influencing poultry meat color include feed composition, pre-slaughter conditions, and processing methods (Northcutt, 2009). Pigmentation is affected by carotenoids in the feed, and factors like breed, environment, and health also play a role (Nasir et al., 2017). Texture, a key factor in consumer satisfaction, is influenced by water retention, connective tissue maturity, bird age, and processing conditions (Nasir et al., 2017). Flavor, a combination of taste and odor, develops during cooking due to chemical reactions involving lipids and proteins, with poultry fat contributing to the characteristic flavor (Northcutt, 2009). Chicken meat is low in fat, contains no trans-fats, and is high in monounsaturated fats, making it desirable from a health perspective (Culioli et al., 2003; Greger, 2014). Water-holding capacity influences tenderness and juiciness, and meat with high water-holding capacity retains more water during processing (Maxwell, 2017).

pH also impacts quality, including tenderness, water-holding capacity, and color. Meat with a higher pH retains more water and is often more tender. The pH of broiler meat depends on the amount of glycogen in the muscle before slaughter and its conversion to lactic acid post-slaughter (Anadon, 2002). Feed composition influences muscle growth and fat deposition in birds, with high-energy, high-protein diets improving carcass yield and reducing fatness (Nasir et al., 2017). Adjusting the protein-to-energy ratio can also affect muscle mass and quality (Hess & Bilgili, 2004). Biochemical changes after slaughter, including glycogen depletion and lactic acid accumulation, impact tenderness and water-holding capacity (Nasir et al., 2017). Other factors, including genetics, pre-slaughter conditions, and broiler management, also affect meat quality.

Understanding the nutritional requirements of broilers is essential for optimizing meat quality. Nutrients like carbohydrates, fats, proteins, minerals, vitamins, and water are critical for growth and overall health (Atteh, 2002). The nutrient needs of broilers vary with age and growth rate (Olomu, 2005). Energy is the primary dietary requirement, provided mainly through carbohydrates and fats, and balancing energy and protein in the diet is crucial for growth (Obioha, 2002). Protein, especially amino acids, is essential for broiler growth, with deficiencies adversely affecting growth and meat quality (Olomu, 2005). Water is vital for metabolism and regulating body temperature, and its consumption increases with protein intake and environmental temperature (Oluyemi & Robert, 2011). Minerals, particularly calcium and phosphorus, are crucial for bone development and metabolic processes (Ranjhan, 2001).

This study addresses the gaps in the literature regarding the use of abattoir waste as a protein source for broilers and its impact on meat quality. By evaluating the proximate composition, microbial load, cholesterol levels, and palatability of broiler muscle, this research aims to assess the potential of abattoir waste as a cost-effective alternative protein source for poultry feed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

The experiment was carried out at the poultry Unit, Teaching and Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Osun State University, Osogbo, Ejigbo campus, Ejigbo, Osun State.

Experimental materials

Experimental pen, disinfectant, tarpaulin, digital scale, thermometer, wood shavings, coal pot, charcoal, feeder, drinker, and abattoir waste such as rumen concentrate, blood, bone, horn and hooves.

Experimental bird management

This study, which lasted 8 weeks, involved a total of 150-day-old Ross breeds. The birds were obtained from a well-known farm in Osun State, Nigeria. In two phases, the experimental birds were grown in an intensive management system in a deep litter system (The starter phase and the finisher phase). The starter phase, also known as the brooding period, lasted 4 weeks and the birds were fed compounded broiler starting feed, while the finisher phase entailed feeding the birds compounded broiler finisher with varying levels of abattoir waste. This phase lasted for another four weeks to make a total of 8 8-week experimental period. Before the arrival of the chicks, the poultry pen, the surrounding areas, and all equipment were thoroughly washed, cleaned, and disinfected. Wood shaving was sourced and was spread evenly to a depth of 3-10cm, leveled and compacted in the brooding house. All equipment was assembled in the appropriate configuration. The pen was preheated, immediately after the arrival of the chicks, the chick boxes were carefully offloaded, and the chicks were distributed evenly throughout in the pen. Chicks were tipped quickly, gently, and evenly, and the empty boxes were removed from the house. A solution of glucose and vitamins was served to the chicks as anti-stress, and they were left to settle for 1-2 hours to become accustomed to their unique environment. A one to two hourly check was mandated during the brooding stage.

Table 1: Vaccination program for broiler chicken

AGE	VACCINATION
DAY 1	NDV-1/0 (HATCHERY)
DAY 2	1 ST GUMBORO VACCINE
2 WEEKS	NDV (LASOTA VACCINE)
3 WEEKS	2 ND GUMBORO VACCINE
4 WEEKS	NDV (LASOTA VACCINE)

Table 2: Medication programme for broiler chicken

AGE OF THE BIRDS	MEDICATION
DAY 1-2	Anti-stress, glucose
DAY 3-5	Antibiotic in water
DAY 10-12	Anticoccidial in water
DAY 17-19	Antibiotic in water
DAY 24-26	Wormazine

Experimental material procurement, sample collection, and preparation

Abattoir waste was sourced from a nearby abattoir's waste management.

Content of the abattoir waste used:

Blood and bones: Blood was collected during the slaughtering of cattle. The blood was then placed to a drum and coagulated for 45 minutes on a burner at 100 °C It was sieved after coagulation to eliminate any excess water. The coagulated blood was sun-dried on a clean aluminum sheet in a well-aerated environment, and it was turned often to aid drying. Using mining equipment, the dried blood was crushed into a fine ground blood meal. The sourced bones were sun-dried until the moisture content was eliminated, at which time they were shattered with a hammer or mortal. After being crushed into small particles, it was steamed at 100oC for more than 30 minutes. It was dried and milled using a milling machine to fine particles.

Rumen content: Rumen content was obtained from an abattoir, collected, and emptied into a clean bag, and the rumen fluid was squeezed out locally using hands to lower the moisture content and bulkiness of the rumen content. The rumen was spread on a clean nylon sheet to dry in the sun in a well-aerated atmosphere. It was flipped often while drying to assist drying. The dried rumen content was milled into a fine ground rumen content meal using a milling machine.

Horn and hooves: The sourced cattle horns and hoofs were processed individually. The hoofs were soaked in water until they became spongy and could be detached from the bones, at which point they were spread out to dry in the sun. The horns were sun-cured until the horn pith had dried completely and may be hammered out. The horns and hoofs were mixed and steam-cooked in an autoclave for seven hours at 100-112°C (digester). The substance is next dried and finely ground.

Mixing of milled abattoir waste: Separately milled abattoir waste was taken to a laboratory for chemical examination to determine its chemical composition content. The mixture was then blended at a 1:1 ratio and used to replace soybean meal at various levels in finisher growth diets. All of the above-mentioned processed slaughterhouse waste will be mixed and added to compounded feed at a rate of 5%,10%, 15%, 20%, and 0%.

Experimental Treatments

The chicks were randomly distributed to five treatments (30 birds each) with three (3) replicates of ten (10) birds per replicate to make a total of 150 birds. The treatment diet included:

- Treatment 1: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 5%
- Treatment 2: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 10%
- Treatment 3: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 15%
- Treatment 4: Compounded feed with abattoir waste at 20%
- Treatment 5: Compounded feed with abattoir.

Experimental diets

Table 3: Experimental diet fed to broilers (starter stage)

Ingredients	0	5	10	15	20
Maize	58.94	58.64	59.58	60.65	60.40

Soya bean meal	16.0	16.0	16.0	12.35	9.90
Groundnut cake	14.5	15.38	10.0	8.2	6.2
Fishmeal	3.0	0	0	0	0
Abattoir waste meal	0	5	10	15	20
Wheat offal	4.06	1.48	0.92	0.3	0
Bone meal	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Lysine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Methionine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
ME in MJ kg ⁻¹	12.56	12.56	12.56	12.56	12.56
%CP	22.19	22.01	22.04	22.05	22.08
%CF	3.32	3.39	4.47	4.95	5.01
%Ca	1.26	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.1
%P	0.96	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.74
%Lysine	1.15	1.38	1.67	1.9	2.01
%Methionine	0.48	0.48	0.5	0.52	0.53

Table 3a: Experimental diet fed to broilers (finisher stage)

Ingredients	0	5	10	15	20
Maize	61.42	62.47	63.75	64.37	60.75
Soya bean meal	15.8	9.8	7.2	4.25	4.01
Groundnut cake	7.0	7.8	4.5	2.85	2.21
Fishmeal	0	0	0	0	0
Abattoir waste meal	0	5	10	15	20
Wheat offal	12.28	11.43	11.05	10.03	9.53
Bone meal	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Lysine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Methionine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
ME in MJ kg ⁻¹	12.34	12.34	12.34	12.34	12.34
%CP	18.02	18.17	18	18.18	18.21
%CF	3.65	4.08	4.57	5.05	5.14
%Ca	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07
%P	0.79	0.74	0.72	0.7	0.68
%Lysine	0.97	1.18	1.43	1.69	1.73
%Methionine	0.42	0.44	0.46	0.48	0.5

Data collection

At the end of the 8-week experiment, three birds per replicate from each treatment were starved overnight, weighed before slaughtering, and weighed after slaughtering. Muscles were cut from the breast and thigh. The muscles collected were sent to a laboratory for analysis, which included proximate composition, mineral composition, cholesterol status, and microbial loads.

Proximate composition of muscle

Skinless breasts (*musculus pectoralis major*) from each treatment were taken for evaluation. The samples' protein, ether extract, ash, and moisture contents were analyzed according to A.O.A.C 18th Edition, (2005).

Mineral composition

Magnesium, calcium, and phosphorus were determined chemically according to the Official Analytical Chemist (A.O.A.C, 18th Edition, 2005)

Cholesterol status of muscle

Cholesterol content was measured using the procedure described by (Ahmed *et al.*, (2015). Briefly, the cholesterol was determined from fat, which was segregated via the extraction of 5 g of minced muscle (mixed with reference material; 0.5 mL of 5- α -cholesterol) with a chloroform and methanol mixture. The cholesterol was separated from fat using the modified method.

Microbial loads of muscle

For the microbiological analysis, 25 g of surface meat tissue, with a size of 3.5 \times 7 \times 0.5 cm, was aseptically taken using a sterile scalpel. Thereafter, 225ml of the serially diluted stock solution was transferred aseptically into a mixture of nutrient agar and Mac Conkey agar. The inoculated culture media will be incubated inversely overnight at 37^oC. The bacteria were identified and the number of bacterial colonies recorded was expressed as colony forming unit per gram (CFU/g) according to the procedure of (Bhandari *et al.*, 2013).

Palatability status

The breast muscle from the experimental broilers that were fasted were boiled, for 20 minutes at 100 ^oC, and allowed to cool, at room temperature. Palatability was determined using a nine-point hedonic scale for juiciness, color, flavor, tenderness, texture, and overall acceptability. A total number of 10 trained panelists were used based on past performance. The boiled samples were randomly allocated. The panelists were provided with unsalted cracker biscuits and water to change the taste of their mouths after each bite.

Table 4: Sample of a 9- Point - hedonic Scale for Palatability Evaluation

Score	Color	Flavor	Tenderness	Juiciness	Texture	Acceptability
1	Dark	Not acceptable	Extremely tough	Extremely dry	Extremely coarse	Dislike extremely
2	Just dark	Just perceptible	Very tough	Very dry	Very coarse	Dislike very much
3	Moderately dark	Moderately perceptible	Moderately tough	Moderately dry	Moderately coarse	Dislike moderately
4	Slightly perceptible	Slightly perceptible	Slightly tough	Slightly dry	Slightly coarse	Dislike moderately
5	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate
6	Slightly light	Slightly strong	Slightly tender	Slightly fine	Slightly light	Slightly liked
7	Moderately light	Strong intense	Moderately tender	Moderately fine	Moderately light	Liked moderately
8	Very light	Slightly intense	Very tender	Very fine	Very light	Very much
9	Extremely light	Extremely fine	Extremely fine	Extremely fine	Extremely fine	Liked Extremely

Experimental Design

A complete randomized design (CRD) design was adopted for the experiment.

Statistical Analysis

All data collected was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the procedure of SAS (2010). Statistically significant observed means were compared using the Tukey test of the same package at a 5% probability level.

RESULTS

Table 5: Proximate composition of diet fed to the broiler chicken.

PARAMETERS	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
% PROTEIN	14.1 ^b	12.19 ^a	12.58 ^b	13.2 ^b	14.47 ^b	2.03

%ASH	8.90 ^{ab}	8.50 ^{ab}	7.90 ^b	8.30 ^{ab}	9.20 ^a	0.65
%FAT	6.50	6.30	5.90	5.90	6.10	0.47
%CRUDE FIBRE	6.50 ^b	6.90 ^{ab}	6.60 ^{ab}	6.90 ^{ab}	7.40 ^a	0.49
%NFE	63.9 ^b	61.11 ^c	67.02 ^a	65.7 ^b	64.50 ^b	2.19
%DRY MATTER	93.30	93.15	93.00	92.96	92.80	0.46
%MOISTURE CONTENT	6.70	6.85	7.00	7.05	7.20	0.39

^{abcd} means of different alphabet along the row are significantly different [p<0.05]

T1 – 5%, T2-10%, T3-15%, T4-20%, T5-0%, abattoir waste inclusion.

Proximate compositions of the diets fed to broiler chickens

The proximate composition of the experimental diets is presented in Table 7 below. Protein was significantly highest (P<0.05) in T₂. Ash ranged between 7.90 (T₃) and 9.20 (T₅). There were no significant differences (P<0.05) in the values obtained for fat, dry matter, and moisture content. The highest nitrogen-free extract (NFE) was recorded in T₃ which had a value of 67.02 % and the lowest NFE was recorded in T₂ which had a value of 61.11 %.

Table 6: Proximate composition of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
% PROTEIN	27.93 ^a	25.47 ^c	27.3 ^b	25.45 ^c	27.80 ^a	1.16
%ASH	2.04 ^a	0.70 ^e	0.92 ^d	1.73 ^c	1.83 ^b	0.55
%FAT	5.13	5.15	5.16	5.15	5.15	0.27
%CRUDE FIBRE	0.23 ^b	0.25 ^a	0.23 ^b	0.26 ^a	0.23 ^b	0.02.
%NFE	64.70 ^d	68.44 ^a	66.35 ^c	67.43 ^b	64.8 ^d	1.50
%DRY MATTER	30.39 ^a	28.5 ^d	29.9 ^b	29.04 ^c	30.41 ^a	0.77
%MOISTURE CONTENT	69.87 ^b	71.44 ^a	70.01 ^c	70.97 ^a	69.3 ^b	0.73

^{abcd} means of different alphabet along the row are significantly different [P<0.05]

T1 – 5%, T2-10%, T3-15%, T4-20%, T5-0%, abattoir waste inclusion.

Proximate compositions of the meats of broiler chickens fed abattoir waste.

Table 6 shows the protein, ash, fat, crude fibre, nitrogen-free extract, dry matter, and moisture contents of the experimental broiler meats. Protein was significantly highest ($P<0.05$) in T₁ (27.93 %) and T₅ (27.80) and was significantly lowest in T₂ (25.47) and T₄ (25.45), with T₃ (27.35) falling in between. Ash contents ranged between 0.70 – 2.04 with T₁ having the highest statistically significant value. There was no significant difference in the values obtained for fat across all treatments. The crude fibre was significantly highest ($P<0.05$) in T₂ and T₃ and lowest in T₁, T₄, and T₅. The significantly highest NFE value ($P<0.05$) was recorded in T₂, while the lowest was recorded in T₁ and T₅. T₁ (30.39) and T₅ (30.41) had the significantly highest ($P<0.05$) dry matter, with T₂ having the significantly lowest ($P<0.05$) (28.57). Moisture content was significantly highest in T₂ and T₄ and lowest in T₃.

Table 7: Microbial load of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
TVC ($\times 10^{-7}$) CFV/G	7.89ab	8.84a	7.19b	8.75a	8.63a	0.86
TBC ($\times 10$) CFV/G	6.90ab	7.11ab	6.76b	7.60a	7.49ab	0.47
TFC ($\times 10^{-5}$) CFV/G	1.88	1.70	1.35	1.76	1.60	0.40
TCC ($\times 10^{-1}$) CFV/G	1.45	1.33	0.99	1.38	1.24	0.49

^{abcd} means of different alphabet along the row are significantly different [$P<0.05$]

T1 – 5%, T2-10%, T3-15%, T4-20%, T5-0%, abattoir waste inclusion.

The microbial load of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste

Table 7 shows that there were no significant differences in the total fungi count (TFC) and total coliform count (TCC). Total viable count (TVC) was significantly highest ($P<0.05$) in T₂ (8.84×10^{-7}), T₄ (8.75×10^{-7}), and T₅ (8.63×10^{-7}), but lowest in T₃ (7.19×10^{-7}). Total bacteria count (TBC) ranged from 6.76×10^{-7} (T₃) to 7.60×10^{-7} (T₄).

Table 8: Cholesterol and minerals of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste

PARAMETERS	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
Cholesterol	31.88 ^c	43.67 ^b	44.13 ^b	45.27 ^{ab}	46.11 ^a	5.49
Fe	0.65	0.53	0.79	0.83	0.88	0.26
K	24.70 ^e	26.40 ^d	31.80 ^c	35.50 ^b	35.60 ^a	4.38
Na	76.60	78.40	83.20	59.77 ^b	91.30	20.17

^{abcd} means of different alphabet along the row are significantly different [$P<0.05$].

Cholesterol and minerals of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

Table 8 presents the cholesterol, Fe, K, and Na levels of the meat of broiler chicken fed abattoir wastes. There were no significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in the values obtained for iron (Fe) and sodium (Na) across all five treatments. Cholesterol was significantly highest ($P < 0.05$) in T₅ (46.11) and lowest in control (31.88). The values for potassium (K) ranged from 24.70 (control) – 35.60 (T₅).

Table 9: Palatability status of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste

PARAMETERS	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
COLOUR	4.58 ^{bc}	4.93 ^{ab}	3.93 ^c	5.57 ^a	5.29 ^{ab}	0.88
FLAVOUR	5.15 ^a	4.29 ^b	3.07 ^d	3.86 ^{bc}	3.57 ^{cd}	0.86
TENDERNESS	6.07 ^b	6.86 ^a	5.79 ^b	5.81 ^b	5.79 ^b	0.63
JUICINESS	6.07 ^{ab}	6.64 ^a	5.72 ^b	5.07 ^c	5.86 ^b	0.71
TEXTURE	6.21 ^a	6.29 ^a	5.43 ^b	5.86 ^{ab}	5.29 ^b	0.64
ACCEPTABILITY	6.93 ^a	6.93 ^a	6.14 ^b	6.42 ^{ab}	6.93 ^a	0.53

^{abcd} means of different alphabet along the row are significantly different [$p < 0.05$]

3.5. Palatability status of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste

Table 9 shows the colour, flavor, tenderness, juiciness, texture, and acceptability of the experimental meats. Colour was significantly highest ($P < 0.05$) in T₄ and lowest in T₃. Flavour ranged from 3.07 (T₃) to 5.15 (T₁). For tenderness, there were no significant differences in the values obtained in T₁, T₃, T₄, and T₅, however, T₂ was significantly the highest ($P < 0.05$) (6.86). Juiciness followed a similar trend as in tenderness in that it was significantly highest in T₂, however, it was lowest in T₄. Texture ranged from 5.29 (T₅) to 6.29 (T₂). Acceptability was significantly highest in T₁, T₂, and T₅ which all had a value of 6.93, but was lowest in T₃ with 6.14.

DISCUSSION

Proximate composition of diet fed to the broiler chicken.

Table 5 shows the proximate composition of the abattoir waste diet fed to the broiler chicken. The values in the table are significantly lower than the one obtained by (Onunkwo *et al.*, 2018), who worked on an “assessment of abattoir waste on carcass characteristic, internal organs, and organoleptic properties of broiler with 9.34% moisture content, 35.55% protein, 3.60 % ash. Table 5 shows the percentage inclusion of the abattoir waste to feed composition. The protein content value of the feed ranges between 12.19 - 14.47%, these values fall within the values obtained for

protein content of the proximate composition for experimental diets fed to pigs with hatchery and poultry- by-products. Crude fiber content in the experimental diet ranges from 5.27 to 8.20% which are ($P < 0.05$) lower than 6.10 to 10.39% respectively by (Ojabo and Wunduga, 2020) who worked on the proximate analysis of selected commercial feeds in Makurdi metropolis, north-central, Nigeria. However, the values obtained by them fall within the values obtained from this study crude fiber content on the proximate composition of diet fed to the broiler chicken meat of T1(6.50), T2(6.90), T3(6.60), T4(6.90) and T5(7.40). Having the values within the same range could be a result of both works being on broiler experiments, unlike the pigs, which had lower values compared to what was reported by (Oruwari *et al.*, 1996). With the inclusion of abattoir waste at T1(5%), T2(10%), T3(15%), T4(20%), and T5(0%), the ash content had higher ($P < 0.05$) values from 7.90 - 9.20% compared to what was reported by Ibikunle *et al.*, (2015) on the experiment of performance of broiler chicken fed diets containing cassava peel and leaf meals as replacements for maize and soya bean meal. The reasons for this could probably be due to the addition of horn and hooves in the abattoir waste which may cause the increase in the ash content.

Proximate composition of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

Moisture content can be defined as the amount of moisture in the sample given as a percentage of the sample's original (wet) weight. Poultry meat was observed to be made up of approximately 60 to 80% water, 15 to 25% protein, and 1.5 to 5.3% fat by Oliveira et al 2016. However, the moisture content of Table 6 had values (69.84 – 71.44%), and the moisture content fell within the values of Oliveira in (2016). This may be because of the feed fed which is abattoir waste and the breed of animal used for the experiment. The protein content of Table 6 with values (25.45 -27.93%) is higher than that of Oliviera (2016) on the chemical composition (wet basis) of breast meat of broilers fed different concentrations of R-gelatinous biomass with the values 19.4 - 20.2% and also on the chemical proximate composition (wet basis) of thigh meat of broilers fed different concentrations of R- gelatinous biomass with (21.8 - 22.6%). This could be due to the feed fed (abattoir waste of varied percentages). However, the protein content in Table 6 is higher ($P < 0.05$) compared to what was reported by Williams (2007) for cattle meat. The proximate composition of the broiler chicken meat was observed to be significantly higher in protein than 22.13% obtained by FAO (2010) for the longissimus of cattle meat. The differences may be due to the feed fed.

Fat is the most variable component which could be due to the influence of diet, animal age, breeding environment, and anatomical cut, in which the highest contents are in the chicken thigh (Castellini *et al.*, 2002). However, in this study, I focused on the breast muscle which falls within the same range gotten by (Oliveira, 2016). The values for fat found in breast meat (TACO, 2011) are lower than the fat content in this study. The ash reported in T1(inclusion of abattoir waste at 5%) and T5 (inclusion of abattoir waste at 10%) with the values 2.04 and 1.83 % in this experiment was observed to be the highest, the other treatments evaluated. The ash content in this study was higher ($P < 0.05$) compared to that of (Souza *et al.*, 2011) in the experiment conducted on proximate composition and meat quality of broilers reared under different production systems. The differences in the values could be a result of the feed fed (inclusion of abattoir waste in varied percentages) and the breed of chicken and or the environment.

Cholesterol and mineral status of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

The mean mineral composition (g/kg) of breast muscles from the experimental chickens is presented in Table 8. The results obtained show that K was quantitatively the most important mineral in chicken meat, followed by Na and Fe (Lawrie 1990, Demirbas *et al.*, 1999, Podgórski

et al., 2001], the results also show that Na was quantitatively the most important, followed by K and Fe. The results obtained too are quite higher than the results reported by (Katarzyna and Joanna, 2013), although the cholesterol levels were similar, ($P < 0.05$).

Microbial loads of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

The parameters evaluated in Table 7 are TVC-total Viable Count, TFC-total fungi count, TBC-total bacteria count, and TCC-total Coliform Count. The Total Viable Count is the quantitative sanitary standard to identify the process conditions and contamination degree of meat. TBC-Total Bacteria Count is a quantitative estimate of the number of micro-organisms present in a sample, this measurement is represented by the number of colony-forming bacterial units (CFU) per gram (or ml) in the sample. Total fungi count is a quantitative estimate of the number of fungi present in a sample. Total Colony Count, TCC was carried out to find the presence of pathogenic bacteria, which can be responsible for food poisoning, diarrhea, etc. TVC and TBC are significantly higher across the treatments than T3 which has the lowest value, the differences may be as a result of handling during processing. The TBC in this study was lower in values than the values obtained from the experiment conducted by (Hamid Reza Tavakoli *et al.*, 2017) this could probably be due to a good sanitary process. Aside from microbial contaminants, heavy metals present at abattoir sites could also contaminate broilers, as well as their feeds (Bunu *et al.*, 2023; Bunu *et al.*, 2023a).

Palatability status of broiler chicken meat fed abattoir waste.

The palatability status of the broiler chicken meat shows the overall acceptability of broiler chicken based on the color, texture, juiciness, flavor, and tenderness of the meat shown in Table 9. Color is the first criterion consumers use to judge meat quality, appearance, and acceptability (Conforth, 1994). Juiciness of meat depends on the raw meat quality and the cooking method and is made up of two effects, the impression of moisture released during chewing and also the salivation produced by flavor factors (Aaslyng *et al.*, 2003). Meat flavor is a combination of several chemical interactions involving proteins, lipids, and continuous activity of the endogenous hydrolase during post-mortem which can easily be designated during the boiling process (Koochmarai, 1996). Tenderness could be described as the ease with which the teeth sink into the meat when chewed (Omojola *et al.*, 2014). Abdelbary, (1995) noticed that tenderness and juiciness are closely related, the more tender the meats, the more quickly the juice is released when chewed and the juicier the meat appears. Some major components of the meat that contribute to tenderness can be conveniently divided into 3 groups, which are the connective tissues, the muscle fiber, and lastly, the lipids associated with the muscle tissue. The result obtained in Table 9 shows that T1 (inclusion of abattoir waste at 5%), T2 (inclusion of abattoir waste at 10%), and T5 (inclusion of abattoir waste at 0%) were rated higher by the panelist, which shows that they are more palatable and acceptable compared to other treatments.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicated that the inclusion of abattoir waste in broiler feed positively impacts chicken muscle quality, especially in Treatment 1 (5% abattoir waste) and Treatment 5 (0% abattoir waste). These treatments showed the highest protein content and superior palatability characteristics such as flavor, texture, and juiciness, which are critical factors in meat quality evaluation. The positive effects of abattoir waste in these particular proportions suggest that it could serve as a valuable alternative protein source, contributing to sustainable and cost-effective poultry production. Moreover, it was observed that the inclusion of abattoir waste did not compromise meat quality but rather enhanced it in moderate amounts. While this study provides

valuable insights, further research is recommended to explore the broader implications of using abattoir waste across different protein sources and its potential environmental benefits. Such studies could investigate the long-term effects on poultry health and performance, as well as the economic feasibility of large-scale applications in poultry farming.

REFERENCES

1. Anadon, H. L. S. (2002). Biological, nutritional, and processing factors affecting breast meat quality of broilers (Ph.D. thesis). Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
2. Bunu SJ, Ebeshi BU, Kpun HF, Adesegun J, Kashimawo, Vaikosen EN, Itodo CB (2023). Atomic Absorption Spectroscopic (AAS) analysis of heavy metals and health risks assessment of some common energy drinks. *Pharmacol Toxicol Nat Med*, 3(1): 1-9.
3. Bunu SJ, George D, Alfred-Ugbenbo D, Ebeshi BU (2023a). Heavy metals quantification and correlative carcinogenic-risks evaluation in selected energy drinks sold in Bayelsa state using atomic absorption spectroscopic technique. *International Journal of Chemistry Research*, 7(4); 1-4, doi:10.22159/ijcr.2023v7i4.224.
4. Castellini, C. C., Mungai, A., & Dal Bosco, A. (2002). Effect of organic production system on broiler carcass and meat quality. *Meat Science*, 60, 219-225.
5. Culioli, J., Berri, C., & Mouro, J. (2003). Muscle foods: Consumption, composition, and quality. *Sciences des Aliments*, 23(1), 13–34.
6. Fakolade, P. O., Akinduro, V. O., & Ogungbade, K. O. (2014). Physiochemical, carcass, and organ characteristics of broiler fed varying levels of honey during the dry season. *In Proceedings of the 32nd Biennial Conference of the Ghana Animal Science Association* (Vol. 1, pp. 126-127).
7. Greger, M. (2014). Trans-fat in animal fat. *Nutrition Facts*. Retrieved from <https://nutritionfacts.org/2014/02/27/trans-fat-in-animal-fat/>
8. Haščík, P., Trembecká, L., Bobko, M., Čuboň, J., Bučko, O., & Tkáčová, J. (2015). Evaluation of meat quality after application of different feed additives in the diet of broiler chickens. *Potravinárstvo*, 9(1), 174-182.
9. Hassan Abdel-Hafeez, M., Saleh, E. S., Tawfeek, S. S., Youssef, I. M., & Abdel-Daim, A. S. (2017). Effects of probiotic, prebiotic, and symbiotic with and without feed restriction on performance, hematological indices, and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 30(5), 672.
10. Hess, J. B., & Bilgili, S. F. (2004). Carcass yield response of small broilers to feed nutrient density. In *XXII World Poultry Congress* (pp. 8–13). Istanbul, Turkey.
11. Ibikunle, O., Oko, T. D., & Adepegba, V. (2015). Performance of broiler chicken fed diets containing cassava peels and leaf meals as replacements for maize and soya bean meal. *Research Gate Journal*, 4(4), April.
12. Lorenzo, J. M., Pateiro, M., & Franco, D. (2013). Influence of muscle type on physicochemical and sensory properties of foal meat. *Meat Science*, 94(1), 77-83.
13. Maxwell, A. (2017). Meat quality and sensory analysis of marinated broiler breast fillet portions affected with woody breast (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia).
14. Nasir, A. M., Rafiq, A., Kumar, F., Singh, V., & Shukla, V. (2017). Determinants of broiler chicken meat quality and factors affecting them: A review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 54(10), 2997–3009.

15. Northcutt, J. K. (2009). Factors affecting poultry meat quality. *Bulletin 1157*. The University of Georgia, Cooperative Extension, College of Agriculture Science and Environmental Science and Family and Consumer Sciences.
16. Obioha, F. C. (2002). A guide to poultry production in the tropics. Acena Publishers.
17. Oliveira, S. V., Avanco, M., Garcia-Neto, E. H. G., & Ponsano, E. H. G. (2016). Composition of broiler meat. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 25(2), 1-6.
18. Olomu, J. M. (2005). *Monogastric animals' nutrition, principles and practice*. Jachem Publication.
19. Oluyemi, J. A., & Roberts, F. A. (2011). *Poultry production in warm-wet climate* (Low-cost edition). Macmillan Publishers.
20. Onunkwo, D. N., Amaduruonye, W., & Daniel-Igwe, G. (2018). Assessment of abattoir waste on carcass characteristics, internal organs, and organoleptic properties of broiler birds. *Nigerian Agricultural Journal*, 49(1), 213-221.
21. Oruwari, B. M., Sese, B. T., & Mgbere, O. O. (1996). Whole palm kernel in diets for broilers. *Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa*, 44(3), 179-183.
22. Pond, W. G., Church, D. C., & Pond, K. R. (2009). *Basic animal nutrition and feeding* (4th ed.). John Wiley and Sons Inc.
23. Ranjhan, S. K. (2001). *Animal nutrition in the tropics* (2nd rev. ed.). Vikas Publishing House.
24. Smith, A. J. (2001). *The Tropical Agriculturist*. Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
25. Smith, D. P., Lyon, C. E., & Lyon, B. G. (2002). The effect of age, dietary carbohydrate source, and feed withdrawal on broiler breast fillet color. *Poultry Science*, 81, 1584-1588.
26. Souza, X. R., Feria, B., & Bressan, M. C. (2011). Proximate composition and meat quality of broilers reared under different production systems. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 13(1).
27. TACO. (2011). *Tabela brasileira de alimentos*. Revista Ampliada NEPA, UNICAMP.
28. Williams, P. G. (2007). Research online; nutritional composition of red meat. Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, University of Wollongong.