

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128125
Title of the Manuscript:	THE WESTERN AID TO AFRICA IN XXI COUNTRY: A NEOCOLONIAL APPROACH DECIPHERING THE NEOCOLONIAL SOCIOECONOMIC REALITIES AND EFFECTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is a valuable contribution to the body of literature on neo-colonialism, offering critical insights and factual information relevant to Africa's socio-economic and political landscape. This subject, while highly significant, is also inherently sensitive and demands a prominent place in discussions about Africa's growth and development trajectory. The article's use of detailed event narratives, complemented by well-chosen country case studies, enhances its depth and practical applicability. By contextualizing abstract concepts within real-world examples, the manuscript becomes an indispensable resource for scholars, policymakers, and practitioners seeking to understand and address the enduring challenges posed by neo-colonial dynamics in the African context.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate but should be shortened and simplified to ensure it clearly conveys the issue at hand. Best practices in research recommend keeping the title concise, ideally no longer than 15 words, if possible.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriate but I recommend adjusting the language to reduce the emotional tone and enhance its neutrality. Words such as "imposition" should be used with caution to ensure the research is perceived as a balanced and objective analysis of facts rather than an expression of the author's personal emotions. This will help maintain the academic rigor and credibility of the work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is fairly scientifically correct although I have a few reservations such as the following: -The introductory tone of the manuscript is not very appropriate. The author needs to recall that this is an academic piece not any other article. -The problem statement of the research has not been made clear. I was expecting a problem statement clearly indicating the prevailing context, the consequences of not addressing the problems identified as well as the justification of how the research shall attempt to close the available gap. -It is shown from how the manuscript has been written that the Case Study Methodology has been used but there was no harm in clearly indicating that the research used the Case Study Methodology. -Recommendations of the study may need to be separately documented. However, there is also no problem with combining conclusion(s) and recommendations but the sub-heading needs to include recommendations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and fairly recent. While some are older, they are essential due to the nature of the topic, as they effectively supplement and complement the existing literature.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author(s) is(are) highly good at the English language and communicates in a convincing way. However, clarity of the language in some cases has been affected by the use of big words. Also, as previously said, the author(s) need(s) to manage the tone of their language.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-prepared and demonstrates significant merit. I highly recommend it for publication, provided that revisions are made to address issues related to language complexity, tone, and manuscript structure, among other areas requiring improvement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tasiyana Siavhundu
Department, University & Country	Zimbabwe