

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_127688
Title of the Manuscript:	When Imaging Misleads: Crohn's Disease imitating an Ileum Tumor in an elderly Patient
Type of the Article	case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper titled "When Imaging Misleads: Crohn's Disease imitating an Ileum Tumor in an elderly Patient" is relevant, interesting and meets the standards and conditions to be published in Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery. The paper is well written, the text is clear and easy to read. The introduction presents the basic characteristics and specifics of the disease that is the subject of scientific paper. The presentation of the case is good, the findings from the diagnostic examinations and the patohistological finding are presented. The paper is interesting and will be useful to colleagues in their practice. There is no need for corrections in the text.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Gligorievski
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Medical Sciences University "Goce Delcev", University Clinic of surgical diseases "St. Naum Ohridski", North Macedonia