

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127142
Title of the Manuscript:	SECTARIAN DIVERSITY TO SHARED IDENTITY: EXAMINING THE CASTE FORMATION OF THE BAIRAGI COMMUNITY IN COLONIAL INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The caste-sect dynamics is not extensively studied so far. There are literatures in regional languages but for global readers new academic writings are expected from the researchers. In that context, this article and its descriptions must be appreciated. However, the author's own arguments are not much reflected as it was expected while reading the abstract. So, there is scope of relooking at the analysis part.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is alright.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and precise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No need to give numbers on the headings if there is no subsection.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article progresses well with a general introduction of the Bairagis to the intricacies developed later on. The author has given some important reference sources which are required to justify the arguments well and place them historically. The author's involvement and effort in the topic is well reflected throughout.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please verify the references again and its format as given on the author's guidelines. Some references require page numbers and source links.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The entire article requires minor grammatical corrections and reframing of the sentences. Write in simple English but try to be grammatically correct. It enhances the quality of the article.	
Optional/General comments	In-text citations are missing throughout the article. Some comments are given along the article. Have a look on those points.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhyai Jyoti Mahanta
Department, University & Country	Bir Raghav Moran Govt. Model College, India