

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127452
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF AGRICULTURAL STUDENTS TOWARDS ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into agricultural students' perceptions of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in agriculture, a critical area for the sector's transformation. Understanding these perceptions is essential for designing educational programs that foster AI adoption, potentially revolutionizing agricultural practices. The study's findings address the readiness of the next generation to integrate modern technologies, highlighting barriers and opportunities, which are crucial for policymakers and educators. The robust data analysis and emphasis on practical challenges make it a significant contribution to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is quite generic and does not clearly indicate the specific focus or scope of the study. It would be beneficial for the title to reflect the core themes and objectives of the research more explicitly. 'Agricultural Students' Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and Opportunities in Tamil Nadu' 'Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: Perceptions and Barriers Among Students in Tamil Nadu'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the study. However, it could benefit from the following improvements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include specific recommendations or implications derived from the study's findings. • Briefly mention how these insights can shape educational strategies or policy development. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Findings and discussion section should be replaced by Research methodology • A separate findings and discussion section should be developed highlighting how the study fills in the past literature gaps . 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript is scientifically robust, with well-defined objectives and appropriate statistical methods, such as mean scores and percentage analysis. • The study uses mean score and percentage analysis, but the rationale for choosing these specific methods is not thoroughly explained. Consider providing justification for these methods and discussing whether more advanced statistical techniques (e.g., regression analysis or factor analysis) could yield deeper insights. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, but including more global perspectives or examples from other agricultural education systems could provide broader relevance.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical revisions and stylistic improvements in sentence construction could enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript addresses a pertinent topic with clear implications for agricultural education and practice. Addressing minor revisions and including additional global perspectives would significantly improve its impact and relevance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakira Shaikh
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis College of Arts and Commerce, India