

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127452
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception Of Agricultural Students Towards Artificial Intelligence In Agriculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is well written, small errors and modifications in language will enhance the quality of the manuscript. I like the manuscript as the research of involving student interaction with computer.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	"A well-structured questionnaire was used to collect data on students' perceived usefulness of AI, ease of use, its impact on learning, emotional responses and attitudes towards AI in agriculture and challenges faced by the agricultural students" sentence can be improved with scale of score etc. Also, in the abstract per cent and % both are used, which can be modified to same format.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	More sub sections under each section with clear headings may be provided for better clarity and understanding.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its systematic approach in evaluating the perceptions of agricultural students toward AI in agriculture. The use of a proportionate random sampling method ensures a representative sample of the target population, enhancing the validity of the findings. The structured questionnaire and the application of quantitative analysis methods, such as mean score and percentage analysis, provide a clear and objective assessment of students' attitudes and challenges. Moreover, the study identifies critical areas for intervention, such as infrastructure and training needs, offering actionable insights that contribute to the broader discourse on AI adoption in the agricultural sector.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	Few references may be added regarding the obstacles and data privacy related issue. Some <b>suggested references</b> are given below.  Hoque, A., & Padhiary, M. (2024). Automation and AI in Precision Agriculture: Innovations for Enhanced Crop Management and Sustainability. <i>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</i> , 17(10), 95–109. <a href="https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/2024/v17i10512">https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/2024/v17i10512</a>  Padhiary, M., & Kumar, R. (2024). Enhancing Agriculture Through AI Vision and Machine Learning: The Evolution of Smart Farming. In D. Thangam (Ed.), <i>Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics</i> (pp. 295–324). IGI Global. <a href="https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5380-6.ch012">https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5380-6.ch012</a>  Padhiary, M. (2024b). The Convergence of Deep Learning, IoT, Sensors, and Farm Machinery in	

**Review Form 3**

	Agriculture: In S. G. Thandekkattu & N. R. Vajjhala (Eds.), <i>Advances in Business Information Systems and Analytics</i> (pp. 109–142). IGI Global. <a href="https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5498-8.ch005">https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5498-8.ch005</a>	
Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor correction and grammatical errors may be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mrutyunjay Padhiary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam University, India</b>