

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127419
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of FYM, areca husk compost and coir pith compost on yield, quality and soil properties in baby corn cultivation (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Thanks for the request. The most useful referee reports are those that set out clear, substantiated arguments and concrete recommendations for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is sound and suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	If the authors accept, it is better to me the addition of conclusion and recommendation in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of manuscript were appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Please, try to add more references it is better to me adding more citation mostly introduction part which lacks citation, it's simply narration. Also, please update some references which are more than five (5) years old.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Andualem Zela
Department, University & Country	Bonga University, Ethiopia