

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127392
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of FYM, areca husk compost and coir pith compost on growth, yield and quality of baby corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study was generally tried to be presented as a large study with very limited analysis results. I believe there are more analysis results and comments regarding the study. The study results were divided to publish more than one article. It is not ethically appropriate. The study does not satisfy the reader and the scientific gap in general terms. You can also see that it does not have an original and innovative aspect by writing a few keywords in the literature.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Not appropriate. Direct use of abbreviations in the title should not be used unless it is a well-known abbreviation.  "Effect of farm yard manure (FYM), areca husk compost and coir pith compost on growth, yield and quality of baby corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.)"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The summary of the article does not reflect the overall study and contains incomplete information. For example, there is no explanatory and introductory information about compost.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Subsections and subheadings are not appropriate. I added very detailed explanations and warnings to the article.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	At first glance, it seems like an innovative study scientifically, but this scientific study contains very incomplete analysis results. This brings two situations to mind. First, the study contains more detailed analysis results, but there may be a desire to publish more than one article from the study. Second, there may be a desire to publish these analyses in an indexed journal. Both situations are unacceptable and scientifically unsatisfactory. Also, there is neither a detailed nor a logical discussion or suggestion in the conclusion title. This shows that there is not much to say about the study.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =	Reference title numbered source is shown. In some places in the text, numbered source is shown and in some places by name. An irregular and inappropriate study. It looks like it was copied and pasted from somewhere else.  There is a citation problem in the study. In some places, numbered source is shown and in some places by name. It should be updated and adapted to the journal format.  The reference list in the study is both inadequate and a reference list that addresses a single keyword. The literature search was not done correctly.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Article language is enough.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in <u>details</u> )	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Kemal Sülük</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muş Alparslan University, Türkiye</b>